

PATENT,  
TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT,  
& TRADE-SECRET LAW

LAW OFFICE OF  
PETER I. LIPPMAN  
17900 MOCKINGBIRD DRIVE  
RENO, NEVADA 89506

TELEPHONE 775/677-8822  
FACSIMILE 775/677-8823  
EMAIL PL@A-L.COM

June 5, 2007

Mail Stop Petition  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on

June 5, 2007

  
Peter I. Lippman, Reg. 22,835

RE: U. S. utility patent 5,467,122, issued November 14, 1995  
from serial 08/046,335, filed April 12, 1993  
of J. Kent Bowker et al.  
for: "UNDERWATER IMAGING IN REAL TIME USING SUBSTANTIALLY  
DIRECT DEPTH-TO-DISPLAY-HEIGHT LIDAR STREAK MAPPING"  
our docket xAA-05

Dear Sir:


Attached for filing please find a Petition to Accept Unavoidably Delayed Payment of Maintenance Fee in an Expired Patent. The attachments also include:

- my \$3,815 check for maintenance fees due in 2003 and 2007, with 2007 surcharge and current Petition fee as required;
- fifteen Exhibits as listed in section 2 of the "Additional Sheets" of the Petition; and
- an acknowledgment card, for date-stamping and return.

In event any further fee should be due, or any refund accrue, please debit such necessary further fee, or credit such refund, to my deposit account 12-1639.

Should the balance in my account be inadequate for such further fee, please telephone me at 775/677-8822 so that I can immediately replenish the account by electronic fund transfer.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Peter I. Lippman  
Reg. No 22,835

Encl: ■ Petition of 34 pages; and  
■ other enclosures listed above

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**PETITION TO ACCEPT UNAVOIDABLY DELAYED PAYMENT OF** Docket Number (Optional)  
**MAINTENANCE FEE IN AN EXPIRED PATENT (37 CFR 1.378(b))**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Stephan".

## 1. SMALL ENTITY

Patentee claims, or has previously claimed, small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.

## 2. LOSS OF ENTITLEMENT TO SMALL ENTITY STATUS

Patentee is no longer entitled to small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27(g).

## 3. MAINTENANCE FEE (37 CFR 1.20(e)-(g))

The appropriate maintenance fee must be submitted with this petition, unless it was paid earlier.

NOT Small Entity			Small Entity		
Amount	Fee	(Code)	Amount	Fee	(Code)
<input type="checkbox"/> \$ _____	3 1/2 yr fee	(1551)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$ _____	3 1/2 yr fee	(2551)
<input type="checkbox"/> \$ _____	7 1/2 yr fee	(1552)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$ _____	7 1/2 yr fee	(2552)
<input type="checkbox"/> \$ _____	11 1/2 yr fee	(1553)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$ _____	11 1/2 yr fee	(2553)

MAINTENANCE FEE BEING SUBMITTED \$ \_\_\_\_\_

## 4. SURCHARGE

The surcharge required by 37 CFR 1.20(i)(1) of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ (Fee Code 1557) must be paid as a condition of accepting unavoidably delayed payment of the maintenance fee.

SURCHARGE FEE BEING SUBMITTED \$ \_\_\_\_\_

## 5. MANNER OF PAYMENT

Enclosed is a check for the sum of \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Please charge Deposit Account No. \_\_\_\_\_ the sum of \$ \_\_\_\_\_. A duplicate copy of this authorization is attached.

Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

## 6. AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE ANY FEE DEFICIENCY

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any maintenance fee, surcharge or petition fee deficiency to Deposit Account No. \_\_\_\_\_. A duplicate copy of this authorization is attached.

## 7. OVERPAYMENT .

As to any overpayment made please

Credit to Deposit Account No. 12-1639

OR

Send refund check.

**WARNING:**

Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute to identity theft. Personal information such as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants should consider redacting such personal information from the documents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the record of a patent application is available to the public after publication of the application (unless a non-publication request in compliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the application) or issuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abandoned application may also be available to the public if the application is referenced in a published application or an issued patent (see 37 CFR 1.14). Checks and credit card authorization forms PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes are not retained in the application file and therefore are not publicly available.

## 8. SHOWING

The enclosed statement will show that the delay in timely payment of the maintenance fee was unavoidable since reasonable care was taken to ensure that the maintenance fee would be paid timely and that this petition is being filed promptly after the patentee was notified of, or otherwise became aware of, the expiration of the patent. The statement must enumerate the steps taken to ensure timely payment of the maintenance fee, the date and the manner in which the patentee became aware of the expiration of the patent, and the steps taken to file the petition promptly.

9. PETITIONER(S) REQUESTS THAT THE DELAYED PAYMENT OF THE MAINTENANCE FEE BE ACCEPTED AND THE PATENT REINSTATED.

	June 5, 2007
Signature(s) of Petitioner(s)	Date
<u>Peter I. Lippman; John McLean (for assignee)</u>	<u>Lippman: 22,835</u>
Typed or printed name(s)	Registration Number, if applicable
<u>17900 Mockingbird Drive</u>	<u>775/677-8822</u>
Reno NV 89506	Telephone Number
Address	

**ENCLOSURES:**

- Maintenance Fee payment
- Statement why maintenance fee was not paid timely
- Surcharge under 37 CFR 1.20(i)(1) (fee for filing the maintenance fee petition)
- Other: Exhibits A through O -- per section 2 of the attached  
"Additional Sheets" showing unavoidable delay.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

37 CFR 1.378(d) states: "Any petition under this section must be signed by an attorney or agent registered to practice before the Patent and Trademark Office, or by the patentee, the assignee, or other party in interest."



Signature

Peter I. Lippman; John McLean (for assignee)

Typed or printed name

June 5, 2007

Date

Lippman: 22,835

Registration Number, if applicable

STATEMENT

(In the space below, please provide the showing of unavoidable delay recited in paragraph 8 above.)

Petitioners respectfully ask that this Petition be granted and that the accompanying unavoidably delayed maintenance fee, and the current maintenance fee, and surcharges for both, be accepted, and the patent reinstated. Unavoidable delay in payment has been due to the Petitioners' having received no message stating, and being not aware, that a previous Petition (filed in March 2004, for acceptance of unintentionally delayed maintenance fee) was dismissed.

The Petitioners now know that the U. S. Patent & Trademark Office (hereinafter "PTO") mailed a Dismissal document to Petitioner Lippman in July 2005; however, that paper was misaddressed to a long-obsolete address and therefore never received.

Not until April 2007 did the Petitioners receive a message with notice of such Dismissal or its details, as will be proved below.

Full details of that previous Petition and of related circumstances are set forth below and in the attached "Additional Sheets".

Petitioners first became aware that this patent was not currently in force on March 21, 2007 when [CONTINUED ON ADDITIONAL SHEETS]

(Please attach additional sheets if additional space is needed)

**"ADDITIONAL SHEETS" OF THE**  
**SHOWING PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH 8 OF THE PETITION**

**[STATEMENT, CONTINUED]** Petitioner Lippman routinely checked his own docket calendar and saw that the 11½-year maintenance fee appeared to be due in May. Upon then looking in the PTO website to determine the amount of the fee, however, Petitioner Lippman was shocked to see that the website indicated the patent was expired.

Below are, in section 1 and sections 3 through 7, a first analysis based upon proximate cause; and in sections 8 through 14 a second analysis based upon a full chronology — both showing why Mr. Lippman had believed in good faith that the patent was in effect or the 2004 Petition still pending.

1. **Analysis based upon proximate cause:** The Petitioners in 2003 and 2004 communicated with the PTO in good faith, attempting to maintain the subject patent — but until late last month received no message stating that their communications had failed. Until then they also were not able to obtain a statement of the reason for failure of their 2004 communication.

From a reading of the now-available 2005 Dismissal, Petitioner Lippman believes that the document appears to invite a reply (within two months) curing a defect stated in the Dismissal. That defect appears to be, in its entirety, failure to successfully provide the necessary fees.

The document also appears to suggest (although it is not absolutely explicit) that a reply within that interval, with the necessary fees, would result in acceptance of the delayed fees and reinstatement of the patent — notwithstanding any and all previous delays.

The Petitioners respectfully submit that their delay in responding to the 2005 Dismissal document was unavoidable, be-

cause the mailed original of it was never received — and a facsimile copy was received only on April 27, 2007. Accordingly the delay in now making payment was likewise unavoidable.

For proof that the document was never received, Petitioners offer two main facts, fully supported in this Petition:

- Mr. Lippman's docket system demonstrates that the document was never available to calendar for reply (for details please refer to sections 3 through 6, below); and
- the Dismissal document on its face is misaddressed to a very old address (please see section 7, below) — at a time when a substantially correct address was in the PTO's file of this case.

The Petitioners first digress here to explain the relatively brief delay from March 21, 2007 to the present filing:

On March 21 when Petitioner Lippman discovered that the patent was expired, and when he saw in PAIR that a Dismissal had been mailed in 2005, he immediately telephoned the Petitions Office to determine the reason for dismissal. He spoke with Mr. Paul Janoski, then of that Office, who said that this case had a paper (rather than image) file wrapper and that it could be necessary to order that wrapper from archival storage; Mr. Janoski also said that he would send an e-mail message "to a contractor", requesting that a copy of the Dismissal be "remailed" to Mr. Lippman; and that this would probably take three or four weeks.

After waiting about three weeks, Petitioner Lippman inquired further (several times) and in due course was informed that the file had been returned to storage. He also was advised to take up the matter with Paralegal Liana (Chase) Walsh, who had written the Dismissal. Mr. Lippman was not at first able to reach Ms. Walsh, but after further effort did speak with her: she kindly said that she would send a copy of the document by facsimile, from her computer, right away.

Mr. Lippman asked that she wait a couple of hours, because his facsimile-receiving equipment was at that moment being repaired; and Ms. Walsh proposed to instead wait until the following day. Unfortu-

nately the document was not transmitted for almost two weeks, notwithstanding almost daily phone reminders.

The purpose of mentioning the time details, in the discussion above, is not to find fault. It is only to explain the unavoidable delay, from March 21, 2007 to April 27, 2007 in Petitioners' obtaining the Dismissal document so that they could determine its detailed nature, and so be able to respond to it. Since April 27, Petitioners have worked diligently to prepare this Petition.

This basic discussion continues in section 3 of these "Additional Sheets", following the List of Exhibits that appears as section 2 here:

2. List of fifteen Exhibits appended  
after the last signature page of these "Additional Sheets":
  - A) copies of four docket-sheet printouts (total 13 pages) for July and August 2005, showing nonreceipt of any Dismissal document in this case (docket "xAA-05"), mailed by the PTO in July 2005;
  - B) a copy of that July 2005 item (Dismissal of a 2004 Petition);
  - C) Petitioner Lippman's docket sheet for this specific case;
  - D) Declaration of Mrs. Holly Aguilera;
  - E) a copy of a relevant page of the PTO's June 2006 spreadsheet confirming entry of this case (column 2, line 2) into PAIR;
  - F) a copy of Mr. Lippman's July 10, 2004 correct change-of-address notice to the PTO;
  - G) a copy of a substantially correct (partially faulty) address-change acknowledgment (with its listing in the PAIR "Image File Wrapper" section, showing "mail room date" December 14, 2004);
  - H) a copy of a current Request for Data Correction, filed on the recommendation of Ms. April Wise in the Petitions Office;

- I) August and September 2004 documents (in unrelated cases) correctly mailed by the PTO directly to Mr. Lippman's new address;
- J) Declaration of John W. McLean, Ph. D.;
- K) a 2003 e-mail exchange between Mrs. Aguilera and Dr. McLean;
- L) for comparison as discussed in the present Petition, a copy of a 1999 coverletter used in paying the 3½-year fee;
- M) coverletter drafted November 6, 2003 — used in attempting to timely file the 7½-year fee with six-month surcharge;
- N) March 2, 2004 Petition to Accept Unintentionally Delayed Payment; and
- O) coverletter which accompanied that Petition.

3. The July 15, 2005 Dismissal was never entered in Petitioner Lippman's calendar, for response — while other contemporaneous documents were entered: Petitioner Lippman hereby states under penalty of perjury that the attached Exhibit A is a true and accurate photocopy of his four available docket-calendar printouts, totaling thirteen pages, covering the period from July 15 through September 15, 2005.

The calendar printouts were made in 2005 on:

July 25 (6 pages) — Exhibit A, pages 1 through 6  
Aug. 16 (3 pages) — Exhibit A, pages 7 through 8  
Aug. 25 (4 pages) — Exhibit A, pages 9 through 12  
Sep. 13 (1 page) — Exhibit A, page 13.

For purposes of this Petition, each of these docket-calendar printouts has now been annotated. With the annotations, these four printouts are intended to be self explanatory. Each of the printouts begins with a "key" which is part of the original printing, but a rectangular box has now been drawn around

the key. Each key shows that a printout can have up to six sections, each containing deadline dates of a respective type:

- A. statutory (not extendable) within 30 days
- B. extendable within 30 days
- C. internal, within 7 days
- D. statutory (not extendable) more than 30 days away
- E. extendable, more than 30 days away
- F. internal, more than 7 days away.

In the annotations of these printouts, the designations "month" and "30 days" are used interchangeably. Below the key, in the body of the tabulation, boxes have now been drawn around the section headings too.

Each one of these four Exhibit A printouts includes PTO "deadline DATES" (now marked in large, bold lettering) occurring in the particular time period for permitted response to the Dismissal. Though the Dismissal sets a two-month nonextendable reply period, a thorough search of these sheets could look for a September 15 deadline in each of the six categories — i. e., for each of two intervals: statutory/nonextendable, or nonstatutory (most-typically extendable); or internal.

At the time of each printout, the calendar program automatically sorts the database to produce these categories and format them as shown in Exhibit A. It is Petitioner Lippman's office procedure to print the entire database roughly once a month, and (between those printings) just the first three sections, sections A through C, roughly once a week.

These facts make clear that a systematic docket system was being diligently, professionally maintained and followed. Further detailed proof of the existence and diligent use of that system appears below in sections 4 and 5 of these "Additional Sheets". Those sections are supported by the Declarations of Mrs. Holly Aguilera and Dr. John McLean, Ph. D. — presented as

the attached Exhibits D and J (following the signature page of these "Additional Sheets").

Within Exhibit A, boxes have also been drawn around groups of deadlines that bracket the September 15 deadline for reply to the Dismissal document — or actually fell on that date itself. In addition to the boxes, marginal annotations call attention to those entries which are on or near that deadline.

Such deadlines appear in Exhibit A on e. g.:

page 3,  
pages 5 and 6,  
pages 8 through 11, and  
page 13 (two groups).

Yet Exhibit A contains no deadline for reply to the Dismissal at any date on or before September 15 — or in fact anywhere at all in the Exhibit. Petitioners respectfully submit that if the Dismissal had been received, its deadline would appear in Exhibit A, ideally in a nonextendable grouping.

Petitioner Lippman adds, still under penalty of perjury, that despite reasonable search he has found no evidence whatsoever that the mailed Dismissal ever arrived.

Petitioner Lippman likewise further states under penalty of perjury that beyond any question whatever, had he received that Dismissal document within the two-month period stated for permissible response, he would have promptly, timely and fully responded — including enclosure of the relevant fees.

Given these statements, if the above-stated understanding of the import of the Dismissal document is correct, the reason for delayed payment beyond September 2005 is that neither the mailed Dismissal nor any other message telling the Petitioners of maintenance fee nonpayment for this patent ever arrived (until April 27, 2007) — not by mail, not by FAX, not by e-mail, and not by telephone.

4. Reasonable care in DESIGN AND OPERATION Of the DOCKET-

ING SYSTEM: In about 1990, Petitioner Lippman designed, programmed and put into service a computerized docket calendar for all his PTO and related deadlines. Since then he has maintained that system in operation. That calendar program runs in a "PC"-type computer under dBaseIII+ — but was an outgrowth of a previous system that he had programmed and operated for several years in the operating system of a Wang Word Processor.

Initially he also used a parallel docket system that was entirely manual. The computerized one, however, proved so reliable that that manual "shadow calendar" was very soon taken out of use. (This last fact in no way contributed to the delay in maintenance-fee payment in the present case, since the Dismissal document never arrived to be entered into any calendar.)

Since at least 1990 each member of Petitioner Lippman's office staff — most commonly one person at a time, denominated a "clerk" — has learned to use his dBaseIII+ calendar program. From time to time the program has been revised to facilitate some of the changes in PTO rules and practice, but such changes have not really been necessary since personnel know how to enter and retrieve information using the original program.

When the subject patent 5,467,122 was coming up for its 2003 maintenance fee, Petitioner Lippman's clerk was Mrs. Holly Aguilera, who was very familiar with the docket program and with all of Petitioner Lippman's patent matters. She had been employed continuously in the same capacity for about seven years, i. e. since 1996 — and had previously served as assistant clerk for several months in 1993.

As she became proficient in operations of the office, she and Mr. Lippman often checked each other's work, especially as to functions which they both knew were susceptible to residual

errors. Over time, such a final check was found to be needed less and less often, as Mrs. Aguilera became progressively better aware of the more-common "traps for the unwary".

In particular Mrs. Aguilera was thoroughly acquainted and familiar with the procedures for paying maintenance fees.

5. Reasonable care in ENTRY of the patent INTO THE DOCUMENTING SYSTEM: When the subject patent was issued, it was promptly entered into the above-described calendar program. In fact, Attached Exhibit C shows a printed docket sheet that the program created automatically (after requesting manual entry of the patent number, serial number, and filing and issue dates). It was later manually mounted in the case file for this patent.

As the Exhibit shows, the relevant dates were printed for the then-expected entire life of the patent, including both the half-year deadlines and the full-year deadlines — and also including "flag" or "warning" entries alerting Petitioner Lippman and his staff, roughly a month before each deadline.

Petitioner Lippman's office procedure calls for keeping the docket sheet in the three-panel case file, at the top of the central "PTO correspondence" panel (i. e., above all the other papers mounted on that panel). Whenever anyone opens the file, the docket sheet therefore appears conspicuously, showing the status of all unresolved deadlines.

This docket sheet of Exhibit C is for an issued patent, and accordingly shows only maintenance-fee deadlines. For cases still in prosecution (or not yet in prosecution), the docket sheets instead show deadlines for reply to Official Actions, foreign filings, statutory bars etc.

The order of entries in the docket sheet may seem curious. That order, however, arises systematically from the way in

which the entries are initially generated, before the overall docket-calendar file is sorted with new entries in it.

Six of the entries in the sheet appear crossed off, in ink, by hand. Those marks reflect the fact that the PTO had been authorized to take the 2003 maintenance fee from a deposit account (as more fully detailed below) — and that the remittance was considered, in good faith, to have been made timely.

In summary, the subject patent was entered into the docket system in 1995, and a resulting docket sheet was mounted in the file folder. Petitioner Lippman attests that the docket sheet appeared in that folder, mounted to the panel reserved for PTO communications and docket sheets; and also that, as the November 14, 2003 maintenance-fee four-year deadline approached, the docket sheet was being correctly used.

The careful and professional manner of docket-system use in Mr. Lippman's office is further evidenced by Exhibit D, the Declaration of Mrs. Holly Aguilera — and particularly by paragraphs 1, 4 through 7, 12 and 13 of that Exhibit.

6. Reasonable care in keeping the PTO informed of Petitioner Lippman's address: On June 9, 2004 Petitioner Lippman received from the PTO by e-mail a spreadsheet confirming entry of his cases into the PAIR system. Page 4 of the spreadsheet (showing the subject patent as the second item in the second column) appears as Exhibit E. It shows Mr. Lippman's then-correct address in Montrose, California. That was not a new address; rather, he had been there for nineteen years.

PAIR records for the subject patent show that date, June 9, 2004, as the date of a "Correspondence Address Change". As the foregoing paragraph makes clear, however, that characterization is not entirely accurate. That is to say, there was not

any actual change of address shown by that June document; rather Exhibit E only confirmed receipt, in the PTO Electronic Business Center, of the spreadsheet data (identification of cases) from Mr. Lippman.

On July 10, 2004, after moving away from California, Mr. Lippman responded to that posted spreadsheet by filing a notice of his address change — also appended to this Petition, as Exhibit F. Please note that it correctly states his new address in Reno, Nevada — on Mockingbird Drive (not "Lane").

The PTO response to that notice, however, is faulty. As seen in Exhibit G, the PTO's December 14, 2004 "Change of Address" wrongly states his street suffix as "Lane". (That error is presumed due to well-known use of "Mockingbird Lane" in a long-running famous TV program.)

During the last few weeks, Petitioner Lippman spoke with Ms. April Wise, of the Petitions Office, about his having never received the Dismissal. Ms. Wise noted that the PTO records for Petitioner Lippman's customer number incorrectly stated the address as on Mockingbird Lane. She recommended filing of a new address-change notice for that customer number.

Typically each week several pieces of PTO mail arrive correctly for Petitioner Lippman on Mockingbird Drive, a street which is in Reno's Cold Springs Valley. Mr. Lippman has found no "Mockingbird Lane" anywhere in the Reno area.

Cold Springs Valley is a relatively small, semirural area more than ten miles from the main population centers of Reno, and somewhat isolated by a mountain pass. Local postal carriers appear to very clearly know what streets are in the valley.

POSTAL SERVICE PROCEDURES — Furthermore, the U. S. Postal Service (hereinafter "USPS") should return to the PTO any PTO mail not delivered to Petitioner Lippman — but Mr. Lippman has

never been contacted by phone, FAX, e-mail or otherwise to resolve any question of such returned postal mail.

To briefly review the USPS procedures: on May 19 of this year Mr. Lippman telephoned the postmaster in Montrose, California — Mr. Lippman's previous city of residence. That postmaster, Mr. Voltaire Alfonso, after listening to the general facts of the present situation stated that the most usual and most-approved procedure is for the USPS to check — even before mail from the PTO leaves the east coast — for address-change notices on file. Since Mr. Lippman's USPS forwarding order by July 2005 was expired, no valid notice would have been found. (The expired notice, however, might have been found.)

Mr. Alfonso proceeded to explain that the next step, if no valid address-change notice is found then (or later in the process if need be) — and if it also appears (e. g., from an expired notice) that routine delivery will fail — is to look on the outside of the envelope for a legible return address. If such a return address is found, the USPS then forthwith returns the mailed piece to that address. This process takes only a short time.

If no return address is thereby found, however — e. g. if the envelope has been damaged or the return address blurred, etc. — the USPS instead sends the piece to a Dead-Letter Center. The staff members there open the piece, and search its contents for any indication of a source address.

Upon finding such indication, USPS staff members copy that address to a fresh envelope, place the entire original piece of mail inside that envelope, and return the entire freshly packaged item into the stream of mail for return to the original sender. Mr. Alfonso stated that this entire process in the Dead-Letter Center typically takes something over four weeks.

Only if no valid source address can be found either inside or outside the original mailed piece is the item consigned to truly "dead"-letter status. Since the PTO Dismissal document itself clearly states the PTO address, i. e. the address of a prominent government agency, Mr. Alfonso expressed doubt that the 2005 Dismissal document went anywhere but back to the PTO.

Based on all these facts, it is improbable that the minor address error (wrong street suffix) in the PTO records could have been responsible for nondelivery of the Dismissal document. With respect, the Petitioners submit that delivery went wrong because the document was on its face misaddressed (please see section 7 below) — and therefore was returned to the PTO. Once there, evidently, it was not investigated.

Nevertheless Ms. Wise's recommendation is greatly appreciated, and it has recently now been followed. A copy of the recent change-notice form, with its coverletter, appears as Exhibit H. The PTO responded to that form incorrectly (leaving the street suffix as "Lane"), and Petitioner Lippman has since corrected the PTO database on-line himself.

7. Failure of the PTO to correctly address or remail the Dismissal document, using Petitioner Lippman's mailing address as then officially on record in the PTO: In addition to Petitioner Lippman's docket printouts discussed above in sections 3 and 5, there is other evidence — even much stronger — that the original, mailed Dismissal document was never received: on its face, it was misaddressed.

The copy of that above-mentioned July 2005 Dismissal which is reproduced in Exhibit B (pages 2 and 3) was first received by Mr. Lippman via FAX in April 2007. It reveals that the Dis-

missal was addressed to his former, southern California location in July '05, even though a year earlier in July 2004 (proven in section 6) he had filed a PTO address change (Exhibit F) and in December the PTO had acknowledged it (Exhibit G). Evidently the Dismissal was prepared with reference to neither the PTO's general address record for Mr. Lippman's customer number, nor the specific address record shown in PAIR for this case.

CORRECT MAILING OF OTHER DOCUMENTS — Meanwhile, Petitioner Lippman has now found at least two documents (in unrelated cases) that came from the PTO addressed directly to his new address in August and September 2004. Coversheets of both those documents are appended as Exhibit I. They dispositively prove that the July 2004 address-change notice had begun to take effect in the PTO and was available for use there by August 2004 — nearly a year before the critical Dismissal was mailed in this case.

In his search that resulted in Exhibit I, Mr. Lippman ran across no documents mailed from the PTO after July 2004, concerning provisional or nonprovisional national applications, that were addressed to his old address — except for the July 2005 Dismissal in this case. (He did not attempt, however, to search for such later-mailed misaddressed items exhaustively.)

Of course before his actual change of location (June 2004) Mr. Lippman also had deposited a mail-forwarding order with the U. S. Postal Service. It is his understanding that the forwarding order expired in about June 2005.

Since PTO correspondence, by at least August 2004, had all begun to come directly to his new address by virtue of the July 10, 2004 address-change notice (Exhibit F), expiration of the forwarding order was not seen to be problematic. In actuality, however, since the 2005 Dismissal was misaddressed to Mr. Lippman's by-then-long-obsolete old office and home in southern

California, the Postal Service almost surely returned that document to the PTO. This is established by Mr. Lippman's telecon with Montrose Postmaster Voltaire Alfonso, as reported above in section 6 of these "Additional Sheets".

The Petitioners presume that, on arrival back at the PTO, the Dismissal document should have triggered an investigation to determine the correct address which should have been used in July 2005. That address had long been in the file, not only of the PTO for Mr. Lippman's customer number in general, but the electronic file of this case.

In fact as clearly shown in the PAIR system contents for this case, by December 2004 the PTO had associated Mr. Lippman's then-current mailing address with this case. That is established by the "Address Change" document from PAIR's image-file-wrapper section with mailing date "12-14-2004" as Exhibit G.

Although that document does contain the minor technical error in the street-name suffix, as discussed in section 6 above, if the Dismissal document had been addressed as in the PTO records (Exhibit G) it would surely have been delivered to Mr. Lippman promptly in July 2005. That conclusion holds true whether or not the PTO had entered the correct street suffix as in Exhibit F.

(Sections 3 through 7 above conclude the proximate-cause analysis started at Section 1.)

8. Analysis based upon full chronology — introduction:  
Petitioner Lippman has consulted several staff attorneys and others in the Petitions Office, seeking guidance as to the nature and scope of proofs that should be presented in this Petition — as well as information that might help facilitate

acquiring a copy of the Dismissal (as recounted earlier). The Petitioners in particular wish to thank Ms. Frances M. Hicks for thoughtfully considering the present situation and for explaining that each Petition is considered on its own facts and merits, and that no detailed guidance for the present situation could be specified.

Petitioners also gratefully thank Paralegal Ms. Liana Walsh for providing a facsimile copy of the Dismissal document; and Ms. April M. Wise for her well-reasoned observations and recommendations concerning Petitioner Lippman's address, as discussed above in section 6 of these "Additional Sheets"; and Mr. Paul Janoski for his initial help to Mr. Lippman in becoming oriented to the situation. In addition, Petitions Attorney Charlema Grant very kindly explained why — by virtue of severe caseload, as Mr. Lippman understood it — she was not at liberty to study the situation for purposes of giving preliminary advice.

To go beyond the above proximate-causation approach of section 1 of these "Additional Sheets", and sections 3 through 7: the circumstances here are essentially a series of inter-linked events that began in a very modest way and escalated according to the "domino effect" into an extremely serious problem. It is especially severe in that the patent involved is of particular importance to the assignee — as witness the participation of that firm's president and chief executive officer, in this Petition, and his appended Declaration (Exhibit J).

That series of events is taken up in detail below.

9. Initial submission of the maintenance fee: On October 30, 2003 with the four-year deadline two weeks away, Mrs. Agui-

lera wrote by e-mail to Petitioner John McLean, Ph.D., who is the chief executive officer of the assignee Areté Associates, asking for authorization to pay the fee.

That inquiry is shown at the bottom of the attached Exhibit K, together with Dr. McLean's prompt response (at the center of the same Exhibit) — including his remark, "This is a very important patent for Areté." Furthermore, as the same Exhibit shows, when preparing that response Dr. McLean himself took the trouble to add another address to the distribution: he sent a "Cc:" (courtesy copy) to: "King, Jim" <king@arete.com>.

Mr. Jim King at that time was the chief financial officer of Areté. Thus Dr. McLean, the president and chief executive officer, wanted his chief financial officer to be reassured in advance (not even waiting for Mr. King to inquire) that the thousand-dollar maintenance fee should be paid — further demonstrating how important this patent was to the company. Also in the same exhibit, at the top, is Mrs. Aguilera's quick reply confirming her intention to file timely.

On November 6, 2003 Mrs. Aguilera in fact began looking for a suitable coverletter to accompany the fee. She knew that all of Petitioner Lippman's electronic documents were stored in computer directories ("folders") by client — and some even by specific docket code.

Not wanting to type and proofread all the numbers, names etc. relating to the subject patent, and being well trained to think about efficiency, Mrs. Aguilera looked in the correct computer directory for previous outgoing letters related to this patent.

There she immediately found in electronic form the letter that had been used four years earlier for payment of the first, fourth-year maintenance fee.

For reasons that will become clear momentarily, that 1999 letter is reproduced as Exhibit L of this Petition. Petitioners respectfully draw attention to the PTO address that Petitioner had used in 1999.

The 1999 PTO address appears in that letter at the top of the page, and also unfortunately — very unfortunately, as will be explained — within the mailing certification at the bottom. Thus, among the several variations needed to adapt the 1999 letter to 2003 purposes, there was one item that Mrs. Aguilera felt clearly ought to be freshly typed, namely the then-new mailing address of the PTO Maintenance Branch, where it appeared within the mailing certification. Exhibit M of this Petition shows the resulting November 2003 coverletter for the maintenance fee.

10. Initial delay: This retyping of the new PTO address, as such, was done correctly, and Mrs. Aguilera also revised some other passages (not important here) of the letter. In the process, she introduced a new but unimportant typo — and also unintentionally deleted a very important needed element — as seen in Exhibit M.

As comparison of Exhibits L and M suggests, to update the PTO address Mrs. Aguilera deleted the last part of the final sentence in the coverletter, and then typed in the new PTO address. Unfortunately that part of the final sentence included the mailing date. Hence the mailing certification became defective in that the mailing date was thereby omitted from it. Nevertheless Petitioner Lippman did sign that letter.

Although the coverletter and fee were mailed before the final deadline, since the mailing date was omitted from the certification, Rule 8 did not apply — and the PTO of course

responded that the fee was late and the patent had already expired when the fee arrived.

This particular component of delay was curable, and Petitioner Lippman timely undertook to cure it as described in section 11 below. Therefore, with respect, its contribution to the overall delay in filing of the maintenance fee is believed to be superseded by (or subsumed within) the unavoidable delay involving Mr. Lippman's nonreceipt of the misaddressed Dismissal document — as set forth above in sections 1, 3, 6 and 7 of these "Additional Sheets".

In addition to being unintentional, and curable, in a very important sense this delay itself also was unavoidable. It is possible for human beings to be well trained, and professional, and conscientious, and extremely careful, and to review their own efforts — all of which describes Mrs. Aguilera's excellent work beyond question — but it is never possible to entirely, completely "avoid" a last small residual of human error.

This fundamental truth is amply recognized in the MPEP and in the caselaw. More specifically, MPEP 711.03(c) quotes the decision in *Matullah* thus:

"The word 'unavoidable' . . . is applicable to ordinary human affairs, and requires no more or greater care or diligence than is generally used and observed by prudent and careful men in relation to their most important business. It permits them in the exercise of this care to rely upon . . . worthy and reliable employees. . . ."

In other words, perfection is not required; and that tiny possibility for human error is literally unavoidable. That last small sliver of human error is exactly what arose here. The Petitioners therefore respectfully submit that the error of inadvertently deleting the certification date, and Mr. Lipp-

man's execution of the letter with that error — and its resulting contribution to delay — were not avoidable.

11. March 2004 Petition to accept unintentionally delayed payment, and its dismissal: When Mr. Lippman received notification that the 2003 fee submission had been rejected as late, he and his staff timely prepared a Petition (Exhibit N, attached) to accept the delayed payment. They filed that Petition in the PTO in March 2004.

As part of that Petition they submitted a letter explaining what had gone wrong. A copy of that letter is attached as Exhibit O.

Mr. Lippman next learned — three years later, in a March 21, 2007 telephone discussion with a then-representative of the Petitions Office, Mr. Paul Janoski — that in July 2005 the PTO had mailed a Dismissal of the March 2003 Petition. As recounted above in section 1 of these "Additional Sheets", in due course Mr. Lippman on April 27, 2007 was able to obtain a copy of that Dismissal document and thereby learn the reason for dismissal.

To summarize, PTO processing of the 2004 Petition took one year and four months; and Mr. Lippman learned of the outcome another one year and nine months after that — i. e., a total of three years and one month after filing of the Petition. Mr. Janoski, in the March 21 telephone conversation, suggested strongly that Mr. Lippman should have looked into the matter during the interim of 16 to 37 months.

The Petitioners of course in hindsight agree that it could have been very useful to follow-up on the 2004 Petition. At the same time, however, for the reasons detailed below they re-

spectfully but strenuously take issue with the suggestion that there was any lapse in the duty of "reasonable care":

- Petitioner Lippman is not aware of any "standard" time period for completing a decision on a Petition to Accept Unintentionally Delayed Payment.
- Therefore the Petitioners very respectfully wish to point out that from the perspective of practitioners outside the PTO — under all the unusual circumstances of 2001 through the present — there is little to make 37 months seem less plausible than 16. More specifically, during a protracted and costly war that diverts money and other resources from the PTO, both of those intervals only seem to be long but plausible times for consideration of a Petition.
- The length of such intervals does not suggest any malfeasance. It simply suggests that the PTO Petitions staff is swamped with work. (This idea is consistent with Mr. Lippman's impressions of his conversations with staff.)
- Under these circumstances, in the vernacular, the Petitions Office appears to be "pedaling as fast as it can." The signing Petitioners believe that this is a result of the Federal Government's allocating stringently limited governmental resources to the PTO, particularly during wartime — already ongoing for three years in 2005 — and with an enormous Defense budget.

Therefore it would seem neither helpful nor courteous for Mr. Lippman to "pester" the Petitions Office staff — any more than it would have made sense to complain about discolored and brittle acknowledgment cards or other PTO disruptions after the 2001 Pentagon and anthrax attacks. Rather it seems more appro-

priate (and perhaps a patriotic duty) that he patiently let the matter lie — until receiving a decision in due course.

12. Relocation of office: The Petitioners draw attention to Petitioner Lippman's change-of-address notice (please refer to Exhibit F) in this case, and related complications. That notice was submitted to the PTO in July 2004, and it is reflected substantially (though not absolutely) correctly in the address shown for Mr. Lippman in the PTO records between 2004 and the present.

The attached Exhibit G shows that Ms. April Wise — of the Petitions staff — was correct in noting that the official records included a faulty showing of the street suffix as "Lane" rather than "Drive". In view of the fully correct appearance of the address in the change-of-address notice (Exhibit F), the Petitioners respectfully point out that the Petitioners did not contribute at all to that faulty indication of the street suffix. Rather, it is believed to be wholly due to error in, e. g., the Electronic Business Center.

As discussed in section 6 above, if the Dismissal had been mailed in accordance with Exhibit G, it is possible that it might have gone astray for some reason related to the error in street-name suffix ("Lane" rather than "Drive"). As section 6 makes clear, that was the professional view of Ms. April Wise and it cannot be said that she was incorrect.

Mr. Lippman's own opinion (suggested in section 6) is that mail sent with a misstatement of "Lane" rather than "Drive" — if not just delivered to him without delay, as routinely happens — very likely resolves itself within two or three weeks.

Nonetheless, pursuant to Ms. Wise's advice, a new address-change notice, specifically calling attention to the detail of

the street-name suffix, has now been submitted. A copy of that new notice appears as Exhibit H. As the PTO disregarded that detail, Mr. Lippman subsequently followed-up with an on-line correction.

In midJune 2004, Mr. Lippman moved away from Los Angeles, California — where he had been from 1943 — to Reno, Nevada. Although Petitioner Lippman considers himself (and makes great effort) to be reasonably well organized, there may be unavoidable imperfections in this effort.

In April through June 2004, during preparations for the move, his residence and office were unavoidably in a state of flux. Furthermore, Mrs. Aguilera and a then-assistant clerk were not available to also move from Los Angeles to Reno, to continue their employments with Mr. Lippman.

Both these people were very good employees and had received considerable training; their loss was a severe blow. Over the years Mr. Lippman had trained several office clerks to his methods and procedures, and had become reasonably effective in that role.

In his new office he was able to restore basic functions with reasonable promptness. In training of new employees, of course use of the docket system is paramount, and Petitioner Lippman took pains to be certain that incoming correspondence was promptly and properly calendared and filed.

He believes that long before July 2005 incoming matters were once again being routinely and correctly calendared. The docket-sheet printouts of Exhibit A substantiate this belief.

Based upon a current general review of PTO correspondence from 2003 to 2005, Petitioner Lippman has come to a tentative belief that the Office of Petitions had its own procedures and

(undoubtedly for good and well-considered reasons) eschewed use of the PTO's master address files in favor of answering to the address on an incoming Petition.

On one hand, the Petitioners certainly would not presume to criticize such procedures. On the other hand, it is believed that such departure from standard PTO processing is not at all general knowledge among registered patent practitioners.

Had Mr. Lippman known of such a divergent method, of course he would have written or telephoned the Office of Petitions in July 2004, immediately after moving to Nevada, to make sure that his correct and current mailing address was in the file for his 2004 Petition. Although that does not seem to have been required, it would have been a common courtesy; but unfortunately he did not know or suspect that the Office of Petitions used a divergent method.

13. Track record: Other sections of this Petition focus upon explaining delay, and upon analyzing causes of error. While that negative focus is entirely appropriate, the Petitioners respectfully wish to point out that the occurrence of delay and error in a patent practice can only be fully understood in the context of other characteristics of the practice.

To provide such a more-balanced perspective, some positive aspects of Petitioner Lippman's practice are outlined here:

He has actively drafted and prosecuted patent applications for more than 36 years, having started as an independent patent agent. He took time out for a California law degree, *magna cum laude*, about 30 years ago.

He has drafted and filed about 410 U. S. nonprovisional patent applications (plus 30 provisionals) — some filed in the

names of clients' corporate counsel, or of lawfirms, or lawfirm partners. So far more than 330 of his U. S. cases have issued.

(Clients elect to drop some cases because inventions don't work as well as expected, or due to marketplace disappointments or financial constraints. Occasionally there are PCT search surprises.)

One of his individual clients, and one of his corporate clients, have each earned between one and two million dollars from sale or licensing of patents that Mr. Lippman drafted, filed and prosecuted. Likewise based upon Mr. Lippman's work, some clients of Mr. Lippman's former law partners have fared even better.

At least apart from the present case, he has never incurably failed to file a maintenance fee. He has twice made oral argument before the Board of Appeal, both times successfully. Over 36 years, he has maintained reasonably cordial relations with all but two examiners and all but two clients, not been sued by anyone for anything, never been accused of malpractice (some of his former partners cannot say this), and never been disciplined or warned by either the Bar Association or the PTO.

14. Continuity and refinement of office operations: Despite extensive and energetic efforts to make the transition to a new locale in Nevada as smooth as possible, there was some temporary irregularity in office function. It did not, however, affect this case.

EMPLOYEES — Long before July 2005 (when the PTO mailed the Dismissal document), Petitioner Lippman had an employee capably making calendar entries in Nevada. The calendar is one of the most-critical and first-taught functions of his office, and it

was under control at the time when the Dismissal would have arrived — if it had ever arrived.

All of his several successive employees in Nevada started out knowing nothing of patents and office management — and so required that Mr. Lippman extensively train them, essentially from scratch. Nevertheless, he believes that the integrity of the calendar was maintained throughout 2005 and to the present. In addition, his personnel situation has stabilized, with finding of an excellent longterm employee.

MORE-INTENSIVE FOLLOW-UP — Partly in response to this present situation involving the maintenance fee for the subject patent, Petitioner Lippman has now strengthened his routine follow-up efforts. He now adds follow-up entries into his docket calendar — for essentially all papers that he files in the PTO.

At each point, every follow-up entry is rolled over into another follow-up entry:

- when an acknowledgment card is received, another entry is created to watch for a PAIR posting;
- then when PAIR shows that a paper is in the PTO's file, another entry is created to watch the PAIR website for forwarding of the filed paper to the examining group, or other entity within the PTO;

and so on until it is clear that appropriate action by the PTO has been actually completed.

This enhanced follow-up program is aided by very extensive use of the PAIR system to check status without having to interfere with the work of PTO employees. If it would be of interest, Mr. Lippman would be glad to submit additional exhibits showing examples of routine status-check entries.

USE AND MONITORING OF THE DEPOSIT ACCOUNT — With arrival of the 2005 Dismissal document by facsimile, it has now become possible to see that the present maintenance-fee delay involved Petitioner Lippman's deposit account. After thinking through the detailed causes of the delay, he has now put into operation a "shadow" bookkeeping system for more aggressively monitoring moneys flowing out of that account.

This change in procedures represents an effort to learn from this very regrettable experience. He has now recognized certain limitations of the PTO's deposit-account system, and is using these recognitions to improve his office operations. These points are all detailed below.

1) WITHDRAWALS ON GENERIC INSTRUCTION — In the routine use of a deposit account, funds are very often withdrawn by the PTO staff (correctly) without specific instruction from Mr. Lippman. That is in fact a main objective in having a deposit account; it does nevertheless complicate any effort to know what the balance really is.

In his bookkeeping system previously he has simply distributed, after the fact, funds flowing out of the deposit account — based upon the monthly deposit-account reports — and has relied upon "the system" to eventually sort out all such transactions.

2) WITHDRAWAL FAILURES NOT REPORTED — A danger in this approach, only now belatedly clear to Petitioner Lippman, is that the PTO's deposit-account reports do not reveal EFFORTS by PTO personnel to withdraw funds — when there is not enough money to withdraw. If the reports did show such efforts, then in this case that would have alerted Petitioner Lippman to the problem which Petitions Paralegal Liana Walsh had encountered — and would have done so within the two-month period permitted for reply to the Dismissal.

Had that occurred then of course he would have timely rescued his 2004 Petition (to accept the unintentionally-delayed maintenance fee). If his understanding is correct, then evidently this present Petition would not have become necessary. The deposit-account reports, however, in fact do not enable such rescue.

3) TELEPHONE ALERTS (AND EFT's) OPTIONAL — Occasionally staff in the PTO have telephoned Mr. Lippman to say that funds are required for some particular fee — and Mr. Lippman has immediately used the electronic-funds-transfer system to replenish his account. When this happens, the PTO staff is able to actually see the changing balance in the deposit account, in real time during the phone conversation — and this is an extremely useful capability.

The Petitioners do not suggest that the Office of Petitions "should have" telephoned. This too comes under the heading of courtesies rather than obligations. Unfortunately in this present matter, as previously mentioned he received no such telephone call or other message.

4) DEFERRED WITHDRAWALS FOR PETITION FEES — Also unfortunately he had taken for granted that the fees for his 2004 Petition would be withdrawn from his account within a few months — whether or not a Decision on Petition was generated in that time — and accordingly he was not watching the balance closely during the time interval (thirteen months later) when Ms. Walsh apparently was checking for those Petition fees.

5) PROCEDURES FOR CURING THESE LIMITATIONS — In his new system for monitoring his deposit account, Mr. Lippman now uses simple commercial software to track debits and credits as if the deposit account were a bank account. When he specifically asks

PTO personnel to withdraw money from the deposit account, he then enters the anticipated withdrawal into the software.

The software is promptly reconciled against each monthly report. When the monthly report shows a sum of money out (or in) that has not already been entered into the software, this sum is entered at that time. If already entered earlier, that earlier entry is simply confirmed; if not already entered, then the new entry resolves the matter.

Each month the software "should" show a balance equal to that in the deposit-account report. If not, then suitable action is taken to correct either the deposit-account records or Mr. Lippman's shadow software accounting, as appropriate.

In particular, if the deposit-account report fails to reflect a withdrawal which Mr. Lippman has asked the PTO staff to make, then he can promptly follow-up with PTO staff. In the present case, such follow-up could have been required — and would have been performed — monthly for thirteen months.

6) TYPES OF DEPOSIT-ACCOUNT TRANSACTIONS DISFAVORED — Although this would eventually have prevented the delay involved in this case, that is, not an ideal way to prevent such delay. Thus the history of the present case also shows that the deposit account is excellent for some kinds of payments but not others.

In addition to making payments that are needed immediately, the deposit account is fine for routine fees that can reasonably be expected to "clear" within a month or two. It is not well suited, however, for any open-ended situation in which the practitioner cannot at all predict how long the withdrawal instruction may be held pending.

In those situations it appears best to pay by check. This is Petitioner Lippman's plan for future such situations.

15. Other requirements: Based upon the last paragraph of 37 CFR § 1.137, Petitioners believe that no Terminal Disclaimer is required in this matter. In event this understanding is not correct, Petitioners request an opportunity to cure.

16. Conclusion: The Petitioners respectfully submit that they have complied with all requirements of MPEP 2590 and 37 CFR § 1.378. More specifically, this Petition provides:

"(1) the required maintenance fee set forth in § 1.20 (e)-(g);

"(2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.20(i)(1); and

"(3) a showing that the delay was unavoidable since reasonable care was taken to ensure that the maintenance fee would be paid timely and that the petition was filed promptly after the patentee was notified of, or otherwise became aware of, the expiration of the patent."

Further, the required showing herein does "enumerate the steps taken to ensure timely payment of the maintenance fee, the date and the manner in which patentee became aware of the expiration of the patent, and the steps taken to file the petition promptly."

The Petitioners therefore ask that the accompanying eight-year fee and surcharge be accepted, and that the subject very important patent U. S. 5,467,122 be reinstated.


12-YEAR FEE — Further the Petitioners very respectfully take the liberty of also offering, with this Petition, the twelve-year maintenance fee for the subject Patent, so that in

case this Petition is granted the Patent will not lapse for nonpayment of the fee normally due in 2007.


The Petitioners hope but of course do not presume that this Petition will be granted. If this tender of the current-year fee herewith is deemed improper, the Petitioners sincerely apologize and invite refund of that fee or such other action as would be preferred.

TELEPHONE CALL — In the event of any obstacle to granting this Petition, the Petitioners earnestly ask for a phone call to Mr. Lippman at 775/677-8822, to discuss such obstacle. In case he is not available to take such a call, the Petitioners hereby authorize Mr. Lippman's clerk, Mrs. Shaliene D. Ray, to discuss any and all matters related to this Petition.

Respectfully,



John McLean, Ph. D.  
President & Chief Executive Officer  
Areté Associates  
(assignee of the entire interest)



Peter I. Lippman  
Registration No. 22,835  
Attorney for the Assignee

Peter I. Lippman  
17900 Mockingbird Drive  
Reno NV 89506

June 5, 2007

TELEPHONE:  
775/677-8822

## KEY to types of entries stated in section headings

A. STATUTORY deadlines within 30 days.	B. EXTENDABLE deadlines within 30 days	C. Internal deadlines within 7 days
D. STATUTORY deadlines over 30 days away	E. EXTENDABLE deadlines over 30 days away	F. Internal deadlines over 7 days away

W/wd. either home **DATE** 07/25/05 14:50

### of first printout

### Section headings, stating entry types ("A" or "B")

\*\* These deadlines are (see definitions above) type A

KHPS-82 file to revive Alfar 60970047-1 07/25/05 1 09/495,866 ASYM. RESOL'N  
 KHPS-43 OA filed 5/9 3-mo. STAT. CORR'TN of inventorship 08/08/05 2 09/919,260 MEDIA-POINT CONGEN'SN  
 IPER-TAXES 1-wk WARNING! property taxes MUST be received by 8/15 08/08/05 3  
 KHPS-24 OA filed 5/14 3-mo. STAT. CORR'TN of inventorship 07/17/05 4 60/601,018 Kane LIDAR PHASE  
 KAA-24 Status? Nat'l/Bdg's filing (30 months) 08/14/05 5 09/688,610 Donovan MODIFIED MATRIX  
 KAA-24 Status? Nat'l/Bdg's filing (30 months) 08/14/05 6 Kane PCT OPTICAL SENSOR

\*\* These deadlines are (see definitions above) type B

KAA-33 incompt's reply fees due w/2-mo-ext BILL ARRETE 07/11/05 7 11/015,285 Kane STIL MEMS  
 KHPS-03 PackIT 7/5-yr. maint. fee 07/771,395 07/20/05 8 5,712,980 01/27/98 filed 10/03/01  
 KHPS-44 Status? 7/5-yr. maint. fee 07/771,395 07/20/05 9 09/945,402 Eiffel CC  
 KHPS-44 Status? 7/5-yr. maint. fee 07/771,395 07/21/05 10 09/845,062 Vega AEROSOL CONTROL  
 KHPS-44 Status? 7/5-yr. maint. fee 07/771,395 07/23/05 11 Lain MULTILEVEL HT-ED 09/642,416  
 KHPS-44 Status? 7/5-yr. maint. fee 07/771,395 07/26/05 12 09/642,416 Lain MULTILEVEL HT-ED  
 KHPS-44 Status? 7/5-yr. maint. fee 07/771,395 07/27/05 13 Kane QUAD CELL/RR  
 KHPS-03 PackIT 7/5-yr. maint. fee 07/771,395 07/27/05 14 60/601,018 Kane LIDAR PHASE  
 KHPS-20 PL fv/Puruya smnt. 07/29/05 15 5,712,980 01/27/98 filed 10/03/01  
 IPER-JW how to get JW doc to WTC? 07/29/05 16 Cluet INTRAPAD 60990047 (JP)  
 KAA-24 Analysis Art U 83 84 85 BILL ARRETE 08/01/05 17  
 KAA-14 fw/Mailean & updates DONE 7777 08/01/05 18 PCT/US 01/39585 Cat's Eye: pay direct/Josef?  
 IPER-JW BUG Cindy Coe 08/01/05 19 LIDAR independant ap or CIP  
 KHPS-44 Status? 7/5-yr. maint. fee 08/01/05 20  
 KHPS-83 check status 12/7/04 ISSUE fee pd 08/01/05 21 09/946,026 Bush CLC AUTOtrigger  
 KHPS-44 fw/petition filed 2/2/05 08/02/05 22 09/642,411 GII 60001011-1  
 KHPS-30 1-wk WARNING OA due 08/09 have RFO 08/02/05 23 09/912,406 INK PRESSURE  
 KHPS-30 1-wk WARNING OA due 08/09 have RFO 08/02/05 24 10/205,232 RACK AND PANEL  
 KHPS-30 1-wk WARNING OA due 08/09 have RFO 08/02/05 25 09/642,417 GII DO  
 KHPS-30 1-wk WARNING OA due 08/09 have RFO 08/02/05 26 10/276,363 B. P. INTRANET  
 KHPS-30 1-wk WARNING OA due 08/09 have RFO 08/02/05 27 09/615,670 THREADED QUIK-DISCONNECT  
 KHPS-30 1-wk WARNING OA due 08/09 have RFO 08/02/05 28 09/912,406 Levin INK PRESSURE  
 KHPS-30 1-wk WARNING OA due 08/09 have RFO 08/02/05 29 3rd Monday of August to avoid penalty  
 KHPS-30 1-wk WARNING OA due 08/09 have RFO 08/02/05 30 09/912,406 INK PRESSURE

KHPS-44 Status? 7/5-yr. maint. fee 08/02/05 31  
 KHPS-44 Status? 7/5-yr. maint. fee 08/02/05 32 09/945,402 Vilenovna Eiffel CC 60007282-1  
 KAA-38 if on final, APPEAL 2-mo-ext & APPEAL! 08/02/05 33 11/023,299 SHIELD SHIELD cont.  
 KHPS-51 Cl stat in PAIR went to Tech. Cen'r 8/6/4 smt' Montee eml 5/19 08/02/05 34 11/043,722 LATENT I.J. PRINT'G  
 KHPS-51 Cl stat in PAIR went to Tech. Cen'r 8/6/4 smt' Montee eml 5/19 08/02/05 35 60012470-2 Such, RD WORKFLOW 10/124,667  
 KHPS-79 fw/mis'g pta. filed 5/6 Status? 08/02/05 36 10/265,277 CIRCULATING MICRO  
 KHCC-07 AIR4LESS w/design specimens show. use 75/692,042 08/02/05 37 09/946,026 CLC AUTOtrigger  
 KHCC-06 AIR & LENS specimens show. use 75/692,043 08/02/05 38 11/045,587 deDens MEAN-FIELD HALFTONE  
 KAA-42 rest'n req. filed 4/11 Status BILL ARRETE 08/02/05 39 2,329,541 03/15/2000 filed 04/26/1999  
 KHPS-51 Query Note: went up? 08/02/05 40 2,329,542 03/15/2000 filed 04/26/1999  
 KHPS-79 RENEWAL FEES paid by NPT 5/23 BILL ARRETE 08/02/05 41 10/290,108 NITRATE SENSOR  
 KHPS-79 RENEWAL FEES paid 6/23 by NPT BILL ARRETE 08/02/05 42 ACTIVE GND. W/O'VOLTG. PROT'N (Ty Williams)  
 KHPS-79 RENEWAL FEES paid 7/6 by NPT BILL ARRETE 08/02/05 43 Gleckler STIL-MEMS patent GB2403616  
 KHPS-79 RENEWAL FEES paid 7/6 by NPT BILL ARRETE 08/02/05 44 Gleckler EYE-SAFE LIDAR patent GB2403615  
 KHPS-79 RENEWAL FEES paid 7/6 by NPT BILL ARRETE 08/02/05 45 parent patent GB2380344  
 KHPS-79 RENEWAL FEES paid 7/6 by NPT BILL ARRETE 08/02/05 46 09/64,418 INTRAPAD  
 KHPS-38 ok stat ready to issue fw/156: PAID 11/1 fees received 11/8 08/02/05 47 830 831-852 641 RQ. need to be countersigned  
 KHPS-41 OA filed 5/8 status? 08/02/05 48 10/132,742 SMART BRICK Re.  
 KAA-42 OA reply filed 5/9 restriction requim't Status? BILL ARRETE 08/02/05 49 09/919,260 MEDIA-POINT CONGEN'SN  
 KAA-42 OA reply filed 5/9 restriction requim't Status? BILL ARRETE 08/02/05 50 10/290,108 OXIDE NITRATE SENSOR  
 KAA-42 if on final, APPEAL 4-mo-ext & APPEAL! 08/02/05 51 10/290,108 NITRATE SENSOR  
 KHPS-33 check status 08/02/05 52 09/642,417 GII DO  
 KHPS-33 Status? 60002639-1 08/02/05 53 10/934,766 PROGRESSIVE MASK  
 KHPS-33 Status? 60003198-1 08/02/05 54 09/642,416 MULTILEVEL HT-SP  
 KHPS-87 fw/PAIR=)Grant deal patent issued 3/1 patent# 6862109 08/10/05 55 09/755,771 GII TRUE 25C PIPE  
 KHPS-64 check status mis'g parts rec 2/11 Santi's 1-line 08/11/05 56 10/273,219 Garcia FAST MASKBUILDER ????  
 KAA-67 prov'l filed 5/11 status 08/11/05 57 10/934,766 Santiago:PROGRESSIVE MASK  
 KAA-59 file NEW provisional new file in cabinet 08/12/05 58 Kane PROJECTOR BILL ARRETE  
 KHPS-42 Status? OA filed misup w/411 Claim Rejections 08/13/05 59 MultiSpecPol (KS/KP) McLennan  
 KAA-49 ck when to be issued fw/proc' corr fil'g rec't mailed 8/19/04 08/13/05 60 09/919,267 Solar MEDIA-IND CALBR 60990045-1  
 KHPS-54 Status? docket now case MD filed 4/28/03 08/13/05 61 Lidor follow-on ap call USPTO  
 KAA-18 issue fee pd 4/14 status? in PAIR 6/7 billed Arate 4/21 PD 08/14/05 08/13/05 62 10/346,610 Jordia EMULATION  
 KHPS-33 OA reply/ZMO ext'n 08/14/05 08/14/05 63 10/265,278 Kane MICRODUMP  
 KHPS-33 OA non compliant.DUE see OA drawings 08/14/05 08/14/05 64 09/755,771 TRUE 25C PIPE  
 KHPS-33 Status? OA filed 6/14 Ptg. problem 08/14/05 08/14/05 65 11/043,772 Vega LATENT I.J. PTG.  
 KHPS-33 Status? OA filed 6/14 60003198-1 08/14/05 08/14/05 66 09/775,771 GII TRUE 25C PIPE 60003198-1  
 KHPS-33 Status? OA filed 6/14 60003198-1 08/14/05 08/14/05 67 09/775,771 TRUE 25C PIPE (send RQ to SP)

### Record numbers, starting 1st printout

### Deadline DATES

external/extendable, within one month (and so all before 9/15/05)

Database RECORD numbers

## First printout, second page: record-number listing continues

### Docket codes

KAA-55	if on final, APPEAL: 2-mo-ext & APPEAL?!	08/14/05	68 09/770,771 TRUE 250 PIPE
KAA-75	File NEW provisional new file in cabinet Kane NO GIMBALS	08/15/05	69 Kane NO GIMBALS
KAA-64	Gary Small request EPOA reponse 60/960,030-3	08/15/05	70 Done???
KNCA-36	Request for Corrt-ed Filing Receipt NO Attorney see OA	08/15/05	71
KNCA-09	study Stanley case	08/15/05	72 Stanley Home Automation
KAA-61	Study Neal's ap. Bird in hand! <b>DEJ</b> Jwk Warning check: if abandon or revive w/ 3-mo-ext fees	08/15/05	73 GPS/CC: study status
KAA-37	OA filed 7/16 STATUS incomp'te reply fees <b>BILL ARETE PEEC</b>	08/16/05	74 11/053,746 Bowker LIDAR FOLLOW-ON a49 parent
KAA-66	file NEW provisional new file in cabinet	08/17/05	75 11/023,042 SHIPSHIELD div'n1
KHPE-10	Status? OA filed 6/17. 60019892-1	08/17/05	76 Kane DSF extension
KAA-18	record Assignment	08/17/05	77 09/874,524 Lammens ASR
KHPE-59	Mail patent to HP 2/8/05 patented	08/18/05	78 10/176,563 R. P. INTRANET
KAA-18	status rawrit'an tx draw'ngs Entered 4/16 in PAIR 4/7/5	08/18/05	79
KAA-83	Record assignment have notarized assign for nat/regional	08/18/05	80 10/349,063 Sequence Constrnt AFO
KAA-19	get entered into PAIR system	08/18/05	81 10/268,278 Kane MICROPUMP <b>BILL ARETE</b>
KHPE-64	do assignment	08/18/05	82 PCT/US03/19535 Kane CATS EYE/MBR (884 85 86)
KHPE-46	assignment done? 198a fee pd 1/21 patent issued 3/1	08/18/05	83 Kane CIRCULATING MICROPUMP 10/265,277
KHPE-64	executed assignment to file Email Montse recordation form	08/18/05	84 10/984,766 PROGRESSIVE MASK
KAA-61	abandon or not? 3-mo-ext!	08/18/05	85 09/845,062 Vega AEROSOL CONTROL
KAA-60	file NEW provisional new file in cabinet	08/19/05	86 60/030,006-1 PROGRESSIVE MASK
KAA-62	stdy MERAC TM report Get back to DaveKane w/assessment	08/19/05	87 11/053,746 LIDAR follow-on
KAA-79	status? fw/missing parts	08/20/05	88 Kane/Selwyn QC REFINEMENTS
KHPE-51	status??? PL investigate	08/20/05	89 CATS EYE TRADEMARK
KAA-61	Warning 'OA' mail! advisory PIDS/RCM amend'l-no time ext	08/21/05	90 09/945,492 Biffel CC
KHPE-30	check status EPO reponse 60/980,002	08/21/05	91 11/043,587 dePen MEAM-FIELD HALFTONE
KAA-19	OA due! abstract drawings claim rejections	08/21/05	92 Armi-ana COMPOS. CODESTRIP 60960001-2 (EPO)
KAA-19	OA reply/1-mo-ext/n	08/21/05	93 09/642,418 INTRAPAD
KAA-19	OA reply/1-mo-ext/n	08/21/05	94 VARIABLE ADVANCEB separa
KHPE-83	PL to chb pedigree 60980038-2	08/22/05	95 10/165,277 CIRCULATING MICRO
KAA-52	CONT a38 DIV'L a37 mail copy to client	08/22/05	96 09/642,418 INTRAPAD
KHPE-42	mixup w/261 Status?	08/22/05	97 10/265,277 CIRCULATING MICRO
KHPE-42	if on final, APPEAL: 2-mo-ext & APPEAL?!	08/22/05	98 09/642,418 INTRAPAD
KHPE-58	issue fee pd 8/24/04 PATENTED 10/5/04 check status	08/24/05	103 10/236,612 WHITE-DRCP MASK

\* These deadlines are (see definitions above) type C  
100% DO STATEMENTS

**More deadline dates  
external and extendable,  
within one month  
(therefore all before 9/15/05)**

**Section  
heading  
(internal  
deadlines)**

**Section heading, stating entry type "D"  
(nonextendable deadlines more than 1 month in future)**

**~First printout, 3rd page~**

**Deadline dates, record numbers continue**

**\*\* These deadlines are (see definitions above) type D**

XAA-42	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	09/09/05	105 10/290,108 NITRATE SENSOR
XAA-24	status?	Net/1/Haq'l Villing	09/11/05	106 Kane PCT OPTICAL SENSOR
XHPC-33	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	09/14/05	107 09/775,771 TRUE 250 PIPE
XAA-37	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	09/16/05	108 11/021,042 SHIPSHEILD div'n1
XHPC-42	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	09/23/05	109 09/191,207 MEDIA-IND CALIBR
XHPC-50		file regular sp --in U.S., PCT &/or for'n 10/03/05	110 based on 60/615,670 THREADED QUIK-DISCONNECT	
XAA-38	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	10/05/05	111 11/023,395 SHIPSHEILD cont
XHPC-57	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	10/07/05	112 09/946,024 CLC AUTOTRIGGER
ASB-17	LCD Disp CONTIN'N	12th-yr. maint. fee 07/705,346 tall RMAI	10/12/05	113 5,282,958 10/12/93 filed 05/24/91
XAA-28	1mo Warning! prov'l	expires 1/13/06	10/13/05	114 60/643,867 Kane QUAD CELL MBR
XAA-30	3 mo Warning! prov'l	expires 1/13/06	10/13/05	115 60/643,869 Kane AMBS WAVEFRONT SENSOR
XAA-19	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	10/21/05	116 10/265,277 CIRCULATING MICRO
KJGU-03	HEARTWARE eckKrieger	10-year renewal 74/356,210	10/31/05	117 1,931,908 10/31/95 filed 02/05/93
XHPC-30	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	11/09/05	118 09/642,417 Gil ID
XAA-37	OA filed 5/16	STATUS?	11/16/05	119 11/023,042 SHIPSHEILD div'n1
XHPC-48	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	11/19/05	120 09/945,492 Bifff CC
XHPC-28	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	11/21/05	121 09/642,418 INTRAPAD
XAA-37	1-mo Warning	foreign filing due 12/27	12/06/05	122 11/023,042 0.8 SHIPSHEILD "jumbo" divisional
XHPC-31	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	12/10/05	123 09/642,416 MULTILEVEL MTF&P
XAA-38	1mo Warning! prov'l	expires 1/13/06	12/13/05	124 60/643,867 Kane QUAD CELL MBR
XAA-30	1 mo WARNING! prov'l	expires 1/13/06	12/13/05	125 60/643,869 Kane AMBS WAVEFRONT SENSOR
XHPC-40		OA 6-mo. STAT. 60019802-1	12/17/05	126 09/874,524 Lamens ARR
XHPC-80	1mo FLAG!	foreign filing due filing date 12/25/04	12/26/05	127 11/043,772 LATENT PRINTING
XAA-38		foreign filing	12/27/05	128 11/023,299 SHIPSHEILD cont
XAA-37		foreign filing	12/27/05	129 11/023,042 SHIPSHEILD div'n1
XAA-42	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	12/29/05	130 10/290,108 FLUORESCENCE-QUEN
XAA-50	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.	01/08/06	131 10/185,748 MASC
XAA-28	FILE REG APPLIC'N	prov'l expires 1/13	01/12/06	132 60/643,867 Kane QUAD CELL MBR
XAA-30	FILE REG APPLIC'N	prov'l expires 1/13	01/12/06	133 60/643,869 Kane AMBS WAVEFRONT SENSOR
XHPC-80	foreign filing due		01/26/06	134 11/043,722 LATENT LJ PRINT'G
XHPC-79	foreign filing due	200300585179	01/26/06	135 11/043,587 dePena MEAN-FIELD HALFTONE
ASPC-03	Packet	8th-yr. maint. fee 07/771,395 tell RMAI	01/27/06	136 5,712,990 01/27/98 filed 10/03/01
XAA-58	AUS response DUE		02/19/06	137 Gleckler MULTI SLIT LIDAR
XAA-61		foreign filing	02/13/06	138 11/051,741 LIDAR follow-on
XHPC-07	AIR4LESS w/design	Sec.8-15 DEADLINE 75/692,042	03/15/06	139 2,320,841 03/15/2000 filed 04/26/1999
XHPC-06	AIR 4 LESS	Sec.8-15 DEADLINE 75/692,043	03/16/06	140 2,320,842 03/16/2000 filed 04/26/1999
XAA-09	MEDICAL LIDAR	4th-year maint. fee 08/586,716	06/04/06	141 6,400,394 06/04/2002 filed 11/13/1999
XAA-49	CTD SENSOR	4th-year maint. fee 09/562,849	06/11/06	142 6,404,204 06/11/2002 filed 05/01/2000
XHPC-16	ELECTRON. KEY UTIL	12th-yr. maint. fee 09/802,681	06/21/06	143 5,322,992 06/21/94 filed 06/22/93
IDBJ-07	MAGNETIC LINES	12th-yr. maint. fee 07/952,471	07/26/06	144 5,321,861 07/26/94 filed 09/28/02
XAA-67	f/w new provisional	filed 5/11/05	08/11/06	145 Kane PROJECTOR
XHPC-08	SUCTION BOOST	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/946,294	10/08/06	146 5,816,166 10/06/98 filed 10/07/07
XVH-18	WEDGE JOINT	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/649,986	12/15/06	147 5,848,853 12/15/98 filed 09/17/98
XHPC-42	RACK-AND-PANEL	4th-year maint. fee 09/677,331	01/14/07	148 6,506,068 01/14/2002 filed 09/26/2000
XHPC-03	cont.	4th-year maint. fee 09/188,015	01/21/07	149 6,508,814 01/21/2003 filed 11/09/1997
XHPC-02	KING	10-year renewal 75/047,143	02/18/07	150 2,038,593 02/18/97 filed 01/23/06
XHPC-03	KING and design	10-year renewal 75/047,142	02/18/07	151 2,038,592 02/18/97 filed 01/23/96
XAA-55	GAS ANALYZER	4th-year maint. fee 09/590,321	03/04/07	152 6,837,398 03/04/2003 filed 06/08/2000
XAA-11	CTD SENSOR div'l	4th-year maint. fee 10/010,936	06/10/07	153 6,877,134 06/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001
XAA-11	CTD SENSOR DIV'L	4th-year maint. fee 10/010,936	06/10/07	154 6,877,134 06/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001
XRM-13	PAD/PLENUM/PNEUMA	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/802,628	06/20/07	155 5,918,887 06/20/1999 filed 02/19/1997
XAA-15	PRINT WIDGET	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/709,785	10/05/07	156 5,953,657 10/05/1999 filed 09/09/1996
XAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	12th-yr. maint. fee 08/046,335	11/14/07	157 5,467,122 11/14/95 filed 04/12/93
IDBJ-20	MAMB (reserve)	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/485,473	11/16/07	158 5,986,372 11/16/1998 filed 06/07/1995
ASB-00	LCD/phone-entry	12th-yr. maint. fee 08/294,394	12/12/07	159 5,478,741 12/12/98 filed 06/06/94
XAA-86	Exam Request	DEADLINE AUS	12/13/07	160
IDBJ-19	TABLES	4th-year maint. fee 08/485,492	01/27/08	161 6,682,217 01/27/2004 filed 06/07/1995
XAA-31	BIOSENSOR	4th-year maint. fee 09/100,526	02/17/08	162 6,692,696 02/17/2004 filed 06/16/1998
XHPC-38	SMART BRICK	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/739,460	04/25/08	163 6,054,846 04/25/2000 filed 10/29/1996
XAA-06	Japan CTD case	foreign filing DUE	04/30/08	164 01/862,74 CTD SENSOR
XHPC-07	MEXICO EXPRESS/2/d	10-year renewal 75/267,217	05/05/08	165 2,154,872 05/05/98 filed 03/11/97
IDBJ-14	MAGN. LINX CONTIN	12th-yr. maint. fee 08/279,551	06/11/08	166 5,524,499 06/11/96 filed 07/25/94
XAA-02	PERISCOPE	12th-yr. maint. fee 08/284,241	06/18/08	167 5,528,493 06/18/96 filed 08/22/94
IDBJ-16	MAMB	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/487,067	07/25/08	168 6,093,989 07/25/2000 filed 06/06/1995
XHPC-06	MEXICO	10-year renewal 75/230,732	09/15/08	169 2,188,968 09/15/1998 filed 03/11/1997
XHPC-30	SMART CABLE	12th-yr. maint. fee 08/198,994	10/29/08	170 5,570,002 10/29/96 filed 02/14/94
XAA-32	servicemark	Sec.8-15 DEADLINE 76/045,662	10/29/08	171 2,641,672 10/29/2002 filed 05/09/2000
XVII-04		renewal DEADLINE	11/01/08	172 "DAR COLLECTION", Reg 1,510,705 11/1/08
IDBJ-17	MAMB w/TD	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/480,561	01/23/09	173 6,476,616 01/23/2001 filed 06/06/1995
XHPC-02	CAP HOUSE	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/921,313	03/13/09	174 6,199,973 03/13/2001 filed 09/03/1997
XRM-09	CLOG/DUST CONTROL	12th-yr. maint. fee 08/561,788	07/08/09	175 9,645,379 07/08/97 filed 11/22/95
XHPC-01	BACK-AND-PANEL	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/022,850	07/17/09	176 6,261,111 07/17/2001 filed 02/12/1998
ASPC-03	Packet	12th-yr. maint. fee 07/771,393	01/27/10	177 5,712,990 01/27/98 filed 10/01/01

**Detail entries  
(not extendable)  
BRACKETING the  
September 15  
deadline date  
for reply to the  
unreceived  
Dismissal**

**More deadline dates,  
trailing to year 2010**

**~fourth page of first printout~**

**listing of deadline dates and record numbers continues  
from third page**

MAA -06	AIRLESS w/design	10-year renewal	75/692,042	03/15/10	178 3,329,541 03/15/2000 filed 04/26/1999
MAA -09	AIR 4 LEBS	10-year renewal	75/692,042	03/15/10	179 3,329,542 03/15/2000 filed 04/26/1999
MAA -69	MEDICAL LIDAR	8th-yr. maint. fee	08/586,716	06/04/10	180 6,400,396 06/04/2002 filed 11/13/1995
MAA -69	CTD SENSOR	8th-yr. maint. fee	09/562,849	06/11/10	181 6,404,204 06/11/2002 filed 05/01/2000
XNRP-08	SUCTION BOOST	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/946,204	10/06/10	182 5,616,166 10/06/98 filed 10/07/97
XVBN-18	WEDGE JOINT	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/449,986	12/15/10	183 5,848,852 12/15/98 filed 05/17/96
XNCA-02	RACK-AND-PANEL	8th-yr. maint. fee	09/677,331	01/14/11	184 6,506,065 01/14/2003 filed 09/28/2000
XPTZ-03	cont.	8th-yr. maint. fee	09/188,915	01/21/11	185 6,808,814 01/21/2003 filed 11/09/1998
MAA -55	GAS ANALYZER	8th-yr. maint. fee	09/890,321	03/04/11	186 6,527,398 03/04/2003 filed 06/08/2000
MAA -11	CTD SENSOR div'l	8th-yr. maint. fee	10/010,936	04/10/11	187 6,877,134 04/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001
MAA -11	CTD SENSOR DIV'L	8th-yr. maint. fee	10/010,936	04/10/11	188 6,877,134 04/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001
XRN -13	PAD/PLENUM/PHRUMA	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/803,628	05/29/11	189 5,918,887 05/29/1999 filed 02/19/1997
MAA -15	PRINT WIDGET	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/709,785	10/05/11	190 5,963,657 10/05/1999 filed 09/09/1996
IDBZ-20	WAND (reserve)	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/485,473	11/16/11	191 5,986,373 11/16/1999 filed 04/07/1998
IDBZ-18	WAND	11-8-yr. maint. fee	08/487,067	01/26/12	192 6,093,889 07/25/2000 filed 06/06/1995
IDBZ-19	TABLES	8th-yr. maint. fee	08/485,492	01/27/12	193 6,682,217 01/27/2004 filed 06/07/1995
MAA -31	BIOSENSOR	8th-yr. maint. fee	09/100,926	02/17/12	194 6,692,696 02/17/2004 filed 06/08/1998
XNCA-38	SMART BRICK	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/739,460	04/25/12	195 6,054,846 04/25/2000 filed 10/29/1996
IDBZ-18	WAMS	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/487,067	07/25/12	196 6,093,889 07/25/2000 filed 06/06/1995
MAA -32	servicemark	10-year renewal	76/045,662	10/29/12	197 3,641,672 10/29/2002 filed 05/09/2000
IDBZ-17	WAMS v/TD	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/480,561	01/23/13	198 6,176,616 01/23/2001 filed 06/06/1995
XNRP-02	"CAP" HOUSE	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/623,213	03/13/13	199 6,199,973 03/13/2001 filed 09/03/1997
XNCA-41	RACK-AND-PANEL	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/023,850	07/17/13	200 6,261,111 07/17/2001 filed 02/12/1998
MAA -09	MEDICAL LIDAR	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/586,716	06/04/14	201 6,400,396 06/04/2002 filed 11/13/1995
MAA -69	CTD SENSOR	12th-yr. maint. fee	09/562,849	06/11/14	202 6,404,204 06/11/2002 filed 05/01/2000
XNCA-42	RACK-AND-PANEL	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/677,331	01/14/15	203 6,808,065 01/14/2003 filed 09/28/2000
XPTZ-03	cont.	12th-yr. maint. fee	09/188,915	01/21/15	204 6,808,814 01/21/2003 filed 11/09/1998
MAA -55	GAS ANALYZER	12th-yr. maint. fee	09/890,321	03/04/15	205 6,927,398 03/04/2003 filed 06/08/2000
MAA -11	CTD SENSOR div'l	12th-yr. maint. fee	10/010,936	06/10/15	206 6,877,134 06/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001
MAA -11	CTD SENSOR DIV'L	12th-yr. maint. fee	10/010,936	06/10/15	207 6,877,134 06/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001
IDBZ-19	TABLES	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/485,492	01/27/16	208 6,682,217 01/27/2004 filed 06/07/1995
MAA -31	BIOSENSOR	12th-yr. maint. fee	09/100,926	02/17/16	209 6,692,696 02/17/2004 filed 06/10/1998

**Still more nonextendable deadlines  
trailing from 2010 through 2016**

**Another section heading, stating  
entry type "E" -- extendable deadlines  
more than 1 month in future**

**~first printout, fifth page~**

**DATES of deadlines, and  
RECORD numbers continue**

<b>** These deadlines are (see definitions above) type E</b>			
AAA-29	Arte PD fees for ammities Pay Pienier	08/25/05	210 Pottar PERISCOPE
MP2-00	Check PAIR resets some done on 7/25	08/25/05	211
MP2-13	OA mailed 4/29 Status	08/29/05	212 09/702,829 GRAY NEUTRALITY
MP2-43	1-mo Warning OA due 9/29 claim reject'n dmc/pur 10/290,108	08/29/05	213 Orsay FLUORESCENCE-QUENCHING NITRATE
MP2-00	CHECK status of 23 HP cases reset in PAIR some reset	08/30/05	214 cases reset on 2/25 Call if not reset
AAA-38	1E on final, APPEAL! 3-mo.ext 6/APPEAL!	09/05/05	215 11/023,399 SHIPSCHILD cont
MP2-57	If on final, APPEAL! 3-mo.ext 6/APPEAL!	09/07/05	216 09/946,024 CLC AUTOTRIGGERS
AAA-50	1-mo Warning OA due 10/29 claim rejection drawings	09/08/05	217 10/155,745 MASC
MP2-30	OA reply/1-mo.ext'n	09/09/05	218 09/642,417 GIL DD
AAA-63	IDS app filed 6/13/05	09/10/05	219 11/151,894 Kane OPTICAL SYSTEM
MP2-21	OA reply	09/11/05	220 09/642,416 MULTILEVEL HT+SD
AAA-33	incomp'te reply fees status	09/11/05	221 11/018,285 STIL MEMS
AAA-09	BILL ARTE 3.5 yr maint fees duo 12/4 6,400,306 iss 6/4/02	09/11/05	222 08/856,717 filed 11/13/05
AAA-69	BILL ARTE 3.5 yr maint fee due 12/11 6,404,304 (6/11/02)	09/11/05	223 09/751,840 filed 5/1/00
MP2-26	Status?	09/12/05	224 09/688,610 Donovan MODIFIED MATRIX
AAA-02	2-mo reply final rejection Avisenies	09/12/05	225 09/886,959 DISTARS DRAFT OA response
AAA-13	3-mo reply Final rejection	09/13/05	226 10/013,320 Parrugia CTD cont'
IBUS-	RM calendar: no ext for formals anymore!	09/14/05	227
AAA-25	Status?	09/15/05	228 Vetter PCT Wavelength Converter
AAA-54	status? US/GB cases AUS resp. due 2/19/06 AUS pat. 2001382850	09/15/05	229 Gockler MULTI SLIT LIDAR
AAA-37	incomp'te reply fees filed 7/16 v/2mo ext STATUS	09/16/05	230 11/023,042 Lubard SHIPSCHILD divisional
AAA-54	fw/status IDS filed 3/18 Arte billed PD	09/18/05	231 Gockler U. K. plural-slit STIL BILL ARTE
MP2-48	OA reply/1-mo.ext'n	09/19/05	232 09/945,493 Eiffel cc
IBUS-	DO STATEMENTS	09/20/05	233
IBUS-	make sure all new filings have fw/ calendar	09/21/05	234
BUS-	DO STATEMENTS	09/21/05	235
AAA-19	if on final, APPEAL! 3-mo.ext & APPEAL!	09/21/05	236 10/265,277 CIRCULATING MICRO
MP2-24	if on final, APPEAL! 2-mo.ext & APPEAL!	09/21/05	237 09/642,418 INTRAPAD
AAA-50	2-wk Warning due/10/06 claim reject. draw'g cited references	09/23/05	238 10/155,745 MASC
MP2-29	status fw/resp. filed 5/25 amnt filed 12/9	09/25/05	239 09/629,363 PASSTHRU email firm track&confirm?
MP2-64	missing parts: OA Status?	09/26/05	240 10/994,765 PROGRESSIVE MASK
AAA-42	OA reply	09/28/05	241 10/290,108 FLUORESCENCE-QUEN
AAA-50	OA reply	10/05/05	242 10/155,745 MASC
MP2-30	if on final, APPEAL! 2-mo.ext & APPEAL!	10/08/05	243 09/642,417 GIL DD
MP2-31	OA reply/1-mo.ext'n	10/10/05	244 09/642,416 MULTILEVEL HT+SD
AAA-02	Final Rejection DUE	10/12/05	245 09/886,859 DISTARS
AAA-13	OA due Final rejection	10/13/05	246 10/013,320 CTD SENSOR BILL ARTE
MP2-36	check status amnt filed 10/18/04 entered 2/4/05	10/15/05	247 600023228935 Vega FLOWTRACER/DEBUGGER
MP2-52	fw OA final reject'n Status?	10/17/05	248 10/176,563 RP INTERNET
AAA-69	Ck PAIR status on Certif. of Correct'n 09/512,849 (5/1/00)	10/17/05	249 6,404,204 issued 6/11/02
MP2-48	if on final, APPEAL! 3-mo.ext & APPEAL!	10/19/05	250 09/648,493 Eiffel cc
MP2-42	OA filed 7/23 Status?	10/23/05	251 09/919,207 MEDIA-IND CAIR
MP2-88	In PAIR 7/25/05 Check Status	10/28/05	252 09/150,321 MASK ON DEMAND
AAA-42	OA reply/1-mo.ext'n	10/29/05	253 10/290,108 FLUORESCENCE-QUEN
AAA-50	OA reply/1-mo.ext'n	11/05/05	254 10/155,745 MASC
MP2-31	if on final, APPEAL! 2-mo.ext & APPEAL!	11/10/05	255 09/642,416 MULTILEVEL HT+SD
AAA-33	filed 5/11 6-mo. STAT.	11/11/05	256 11/018,285 STIL MEMS
AAA-54	AUS response due 2/10/06 AUS pat. 2001382850	11/19/05	257 Gockler MULTI SLIT LIDAR
MP2-20	fw/DA resp of 8/21	11/23/05	258 09/642,418 60990047 INTRAPAD
AAA-20	Check status Dockete d Nav Case 11/23/04 for'm 1/26 FLAG!	11/23/05	259 10/426,907 Griffin HBRG *STATUS*
AAA-62	if on final, APPEAL! 3-mo.ext & APPEAL!	11/23/05	260 11/043,587 dePena MEMS HALFTONE
AAA-09	MEDICAL LIDAR 3.5-yr. maint. fee 08/554,716	11/28/05	261 10/290,108 FLUORESCENCE-QUEN
AAA-50	if on final, APPEAL! 2-mo.ext & APPEAL!	12/08/05	262 6,400,304 06/04/2002 filed 08/01/2000
AAA-69	CTD SENSQR 3.5-yr. maint. fee 09/562,849	12/11/05	264 6,404,204 06/11/2002 filed 08/01/2000
MCa-16	ELECTRON. KEY UTIL 11.5-yr. maint. fee 09/902,681	12/21/05	265 5,322,992 06/21/94 filed 04/22/93
MP2-79	1-mo FLAG!	12/26/05	266 11/043,587 dePena MEMS HALFTONE
AAA-38	fw/ status filed 12/27/04	12/27/05	267 11/023,299 Lubard SHIPSCHILD continuation
AAA-38	fw/ status filed 12/27/04 PL prelim amnt?	12/27/05	268 11/023,299 Lubard SHIPSCHILD continuation
IBD-07	MAGNETIC LINES 11.5-yr. maint. fee 07/952,471	01/28/06	269 5,321,861 07/26/94 filed 09/28/92
IBUS-01	RENEW MACPKE softw r 1 before 4/5 ddline	03/05/06	270
AAA-54	RENEWAL FEES due 4/26 Bill Arte	03/18/06	271 Gockler STIL-MEMS patent GB2403614
AAA-54	RENEWAL FEES due 4/26 Bill Arte	03/18/06	272 Gockler EYE-SAFE LIDAR patent GB2403615
AAA-54	renewal fees due 3/18 Bill Arte	03/18/06	273 parent PATENT GB2380344
KJCK-21	1-mo Warning! file prov'l DUE 6/1	04/01/06	274 6,676,865 Killi INSUFFLATION
MP2-08	SUCTION BOOST 7.5-yr. maint. fee 08/946,294	04/06/06	275 8,816,156 10/06/98 filed 10/07/97
KJCK-21	file reg application filling date 5/3/2004 05/01/06	04/06/06	276 6,676,865 INSUFFLATION
AAA-85	42- Month deadline CAM filing due 6/13	05/22/06	277 Kane CATS NYR/MBR PCT/UB03/39535
IVB-18	WEDGE JOINT 7.5-yr. maint. fee 08/649,966	06/15/06	278 5,848,852 12/13/98 filed 05/17/98
MCa-42	RACK-AND-PANEL 3.5-yr. maint. fee 09/677,331	07/16/06	279 6,506,065 01/14/2002 filed 09/28/2000
KPBT-03	cont.	07/21/06	280 6,508,814 01/21/2003 filed 11/09/1998
AAA-55	GAS ANALYZER 3.5-yr. maint. fee 09/390,321	09/04/06	281 6,527,198 03/04/2001 filed 06/08/2000
AAA-11	CTD SENSOR div'l 3.5-yr. maint. fee 10/010,936	12/10/06	282 6,577,134 06/10/2002 filed 12/06/2001

**Extendable deadlines over a month in  
the future, trailing to 2006**

**Deadlines  
bracketing  
Sept. 15  
deadlines**

**More extendable deadlines  
over a month in future,  
trailing to 2015**

**~first printout, sixth page~**

CTD SENSOR DIV'1	3.5-yr. maint. fee	08/010,936	12/10/06	383 6,577,134 06/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001	
013	PAD/PLERUM/PNEUMA	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/803,628	12/29/06	284 6,918,867 06/29/1999 filed 02/19/1997
-06	CK Japan CTD case	no later than 4/30/8		285 request exam? query Arata	
MAA-15	PRINT WIDGET	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/709,785	04/08/07	286 5,963,657 10/05/1999 filed 09/09/1996
MAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	maint. 05/14 FLAG1	08/046,335	04/16/07	287 5,467,123 11/14/95 filed 04/12/93 BILL ARTE
MAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/046,335	05/14/07	288 5,467,123 11/14/95 filed 04/12/93
1DBJ-20	WAMB (reserves)	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/485,473	05/16/07	289 5,986,372 12/16/1998 filed 06/07/1995
ASST-09	LCD/phone-entry	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/254,384	06/12/07	290 5,475,741 12/12/95 filed 04/04/94
1DBJ-19	TABLES	3.5-yr. maint. fee	08/485,492	07/27/07	291 6,682,217 01/27/2004 filed 06/07/1995
MAA-31	BIOSENSOR	3.5-yr. maint. fee	08/100,526	08/17/07	292 6,692,696 02/17/2004 filed 06/18/1998
WMA-30	SMART BRICK	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/739,460	10/25/07	293 6,054,846 04/25/2000 filed 10/29/1996
MAA-32	servicemark	Sec.8-15 window open	76/045,662	10/29/07	294 2,642,672 10/29/2002 filed 05/09/2000
1DBJ-16	MACS. LINX CONTIN	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/279,851	12/11/07	295 5,324,499 06/11/96 filed 07/25/94
MAA-86	1-mo Warning	exam request AUS	deadline 12/13/07	12/13/07	296 same MBR AUS mat'l stage PC2/US02/39535
MAA-02	PERISCOPE	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/294,241	12/18/07	297 5,528,493 06/18/95 filed 08/22/94
1DBJ-18	WAMB	7.5-yr. Maint. fee	08/487,067	01/28/08	298 6,093,989 07/25/2000 filed 06/06/1998
MAA-06	Japan CTD case	Foreign filing due	4/30	04/16/08	299
WMA-30	SMART CABLE	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/198,994	04/29/08	300 5,570,002 10/29/96 filed 02/18/94
1DBJ-17	WAMB/W TD (3.5 ACK/D)	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/480,561	07/23/08	301 6,176,616 1/23/2001 filed 6/6/1995
WMA-02	"CAP" HOUSE	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/923,213	09/13/08	302 6,199,973 03/13/2001 filed 09/03/1997
WMA-09	CLOG/DUST CONTROL	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/561,788	01/08/09	303 5,645,379 07/08/97 filed 11/22/95
WMA-41	RACK-AND-PANEL	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/022,850	01/17/09	304 6,261,111 07/17/2001 filed 02/12/1998
WITC-03	PackIT	11.5-yr. maint. fee	07/771,395	07/27/09	305 5,712,990 01/27/98 filed 10/03/91
MAA-09	MEDICAL LIDAR	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/556,716	12/04/09	306 6,400,396 06/04/2002 filed 11/13/1995
MAA-69	CTD SENSOR	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/562,849	12/11/09	307 5,404,204 06/11/2002 filed 05/01/2000
WMA-08	SUCTION BOOST	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/946,294	04/06/10	308 5,816,156 10/06/98 filed 10/07/97
WMA-18	WEDGE JOINT?	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/649,986	06/18/10	309 5,848,852 12/16/98 filed 05/17/96
WMA-42	RACK-AND-PANEL	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/677,331	07/14/10	310 6,506,065 01/14/2003 filed 09/28/2000
WMA-03	cont.	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/188,915	07/21/10	311 6,508,814 01/21/2003 filed 11/08/1998
MAA-55	GAS ANALYSER	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/590,321	09/04/10	312 6,527,398 03/04/2003 filed 06/08/2000
MAA-11	CTD SENSOR DIV'1	7.5-yr. Maint. fee	10/010,936	12/10/10	313 6,577,136 06/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001
MAA-11	CTD SENSOR DIV'1	7.5-yr. maint. fee	10/010,936	12/10/10	314 6,577,134 06/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001
WMA-13	PAD/PLERUM/PNEUMA	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/802,628	12/29/10	315 5,918,867 06/29/1999 filed 02/19/1997
MAA-15	PRINT WIDGET	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/709,785	04/05/11	316 5,963,657 10/05/1999 filed 08/09/1996
1DBJ-20	WAMB (reserves)	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/485,473	05/16/11	317 5,986,372 11/16/1999 filed 04/07/1995
1DBJ-19	TABLES	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/485,492	07/27/11	318 6,682,217 01/27/2004 filed 06/07/1995
MAA-11	BIOSENSOR	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/100,526	08/17/11	319 6,692,696 02/17/2004 filed 06/18/1998
WMA-30	SMART BRICK	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/739,460	10/25/11	320 6,054,846 04/25/2000 filed 10/29/1996
1DBJ-17	WAMB W/TD	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/480,561	07/23/12	321 6,176,616 01/23/2001 filed 08/06/1995
WMA-02	"CAP" HOUSE	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/923,213	09/13/12	322 6,199,973 03/13/2001 filed 09/03/1997
WMA-41	RACK-AND-PANEL	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/022,850	01/17/13	323 6,261,111 07/17/2001 filed 02/12/1998
MAA-09	MEDICAL LIDAR	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/556,716	12/04/13	324 6,400,396 06/04/2002 filed 11/13/1995
MAA-69	CTD SENSOR	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/562,849	12/11/13	325 6,404,204 06/11/2002 filed 05/01/2000
WMA-42	RACK-AND-PANEL	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/677,331	07/14/14	326 6,506,065 01/14/2003 filed 09/28/2000
WMA-03	cont.	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/188,915	07/21/14	327 6,508,814 01/21/2003 filed 11/08/1998
MAA-55	GAS ANALYSER	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/590,321	09/04/14	328 6,527,398 03/04/2003 filed 06/08/2000
MAA-11	CTD SENSOR DIV'1	11.5-yr. maint. fee	10/010,936	12/10/14	329 6,577,134 06/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001
MAA-11	CTD SENSOR DIV'1	11.5-yr. maint. fee	10/010,936	12/10/14	330 6,577,134 06/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001
1DBJ-19	TABLES	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/485,492	07/27/15	331 6,682,217 01/27/2004 filed 06/07/1995
MAA-31	BIOSENSOR	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/100,526	08/17/15	332 6,692,696 02/17/2004 filed 06/18/1995

**Another Section heading, internal deadlines  
over a month in the future**

**These deadlines are (see definitions above). type ?				
1DBJ-03	HEARTWARE	renewal 10/31 FLAG1	74/356,218	06/03/05
1PER-				333 1,911,908 10/31/98 filed 02/05/93
1PER-	PLI	Bill Brooks		334 fw/Bob, Mai, Eric & Lolo're MLB
1BUS-	DO STATEMENTS	NZ infl's, RCT/PB/GB	08/08/05	335 Q fractional of time for res.maint. & 55 def
1BUS-	add sub-Js	End/debug acctg.synt	08/25/05	336
1BUS-trust		Review fcs K.	08/25/05	337 >ask'g blank: born., no prt+bad log&dt status
1BUS-	PMJ fw/list-cai.	6 calendar new filgs CHECK ALL CASES!	08/25/05	338 add auth's for monthly wdl. after "n" days.
MAA-42		OA 09/28 WARNING!	08/30/05	339 Kulli 60 (New system should check from cai).
MAA-50		OA 10/06 WARNING!	09/08/05	340 10/290,108 FLUORESCENCE-QUEM
ASST-07	LCD Disp CONTIN'N	maint. 10/12 FLAG1	07/705,346	341 10/155,745 .MACB
1BUS-	6 maint 3.5-yr 2days	fw statbar/issue/or 'ne?/1-mo-ext.	09/14/05	342 5,252,958 10/12/93 filed 05/24/91
MAA-50	OA reply DUE!		10/08/05	343 still says "30-day" instead've inserting date
MAA-38		for'nw 12/27 FLAG1	10/28/05	344
MAA-09	MEDICAL LIDAR	maint. 12/04 FLAG1	08/556,716	345 11/023,290 SHIPSHIELD cont
MAA-69	CTD SENSOR	maint. 12/11 FLAG1	08/562,849	346 6,600,396 06/04/2002 filed 11/13/1995
WMA-16	ELECTRON KEY UTIL	maint. 12/21 FLAG1	08/902,681	347 6,404,204 06/11/2002 filed 05/01/2000
WHPZ-80	60 day FLAG1	foreign filing	11/21/05	348 5,322,992 06/21/94 filed 06/32/92
WHPZ-79	60 day FLAG1	foreign filing	11/25/05	349 11/043,773 LATENT PRINTING
WHPZ-80		for'nw 01/26 FLAG1	11/27/05	350 11/043,587 MEAN-FIELD HALFTO
WMA-07	CK w/McClure about	\$1000 balance on 3/22 bill	12/03/05	351 11/043,722 LATENT LJ PRINT'G
MAA-61		for'nw 02/08 FLAG1	12/10/05	352
1DBJ-07	MAGNETIC LINKS	maint. 01/26 FLAG1	07/952,471	353 11/053,746 LIDAR follow-on
1PER-03	PackIT	maint. 01/27 FLAG1	07/771,395	354 8,331,861 07/26/94 filed 09/28/92
WMA-07	AIRLESS w/design	Sec.8-15 03/16 FLAG1	75/692,042	355 5,712,990 01/27/98 filed 10/03/01
WMA-08	AIR & LESS	Sec.8-15 03/15 FLAG1	75/692,043	356 2,329,541 03/15/2000 filed 04/26/1999
1BUS-trust	ICLTA: SVC chq?	Set up mo'ty printout by client, "CLT. TR.	01/31/06	357 2,329,542 03/15/2000 filed 04/26/1999
WHPZ-08	SUCTION BOOST	maint. 04/06 FLAG1	08/946,294	358 LEDGER, resono. By CLT., & study handbook.
MAA-09	MEDICAL LIDAR	maint. 06/06 FLAG1	08/556,716	359 5,816,156 10/06/98 filed 10/07/97
			05/05/06	360 6,400,396 06/04/2002 filed 11/13/1995

**Detail  
deadline  
entries  
bracketing  
Sept. 15**

**KEY again, for second (August 16) printout**

A. STATUTORY deadlines within 30 days	B. EXTENDABLE deadlines within 30 days	C. Internal deadlines within 7 days
D. STATUTORY deadlines over 30 days away	E. EXTENDABLE deadlines over 30 days away	F. Internal deadlines over 7 days away

W/d.

either

none

08/16/05

07/12

**DATE of SECOND printout***8/19/05 x AAA-03 refinements***hand-markings to add in next update**

<b>** These deadlines are (see definitions above) type A</b>		
XHPD-41	OA filed 5/9	STAT. correction of inventorship
		08/23/05
		1 09/019,260 MEDIA-POINT COMPOS'N
XAA-24	status?	Net'l Reg'l Filing 853 854 855 856
XHPD-33	on final? APPEAL!	OA 6-mo. STAT.
		08/11/05
		5 Kane PCT OPTICAL SENSOR
		08/14/05
		6 09/778,771 TRUE 250 PIPE
<b>Section headings stating entry types A or B</b>		
XHPD-31	UP os/cons't fw-5/26 2nd DFT to Orsel	due 8/1 60002639-2JP 07/28/05
XHPD-31	fw/ 2nd DFT to Orsel	JP os due 8/1 60002639-2 JP 07/26/05
XHPD-75	Gary Small	request PTOA response 60/060,030-3 08/16/05
XHPD-29	request PTOA response 60/060,030-3	08/17/05
DO -RFO	request RFO's	11 426 830 831 846 848 852 857 861 RFO counters
XHPD-46	Status?	fw/1880 pd.1/21/05 08/21/05
XHPD-38	PL fw/Puruya asmt.	12 09/845,043 Vega AEROSOL CONTROL
XAA-14	Warning: OA due!	13 Clust INTAPAD 60990047 (JP)
XHPD-31	Warning: OA due!	14 10/265,277 CIRCULATING MICRO
XHPD-31	Warning: OA due!	15 10/265,277 CIRCULATING MICRO
XAA-14	Warning: OA due!	16 VARIABLE ADVANCEZ epats
XHPD-43	check status	17 10/265,277 CIRCULATING MICRO
XNC-44	fw/petition	18 10/265,277 CIRCULATING MICRO
XAA-28	miss'g pts filed 6/5 needs prelam before, going to examiner	19 11/023,208 SHIELDING cont
XHCC-07	AIR4LESS w/design	20 2,328,861 03/15/2000 filed 04/26/1999
XHCC-06	AIR 4 LESS	21 2,329,862 03/15/2000 filed 04/26/1999
XHPD-83	DL to cbk pedigree	22 09/350,487 SHIELDING JUMBO - Also 6491
XAA-53	COPR os/ DIV'1 a3?	23 09/350,487 SHIELDING JUMBO - Also 6491
XHPD-42	mixup w/sel	24 09/019,207 MEDIA-IND CALSR
XHPD-43	if on final, APPEAL! 2-mo. ext & APPEAL!	25 09/019,207 MEDIA-IND CALSR
XAA-83	OA 9/22 Warning!	26 11/181,894 CATS-EYE/MER
XHPD-88	issue fee pd 8/24/04 DATEDEN 10/8/04	27 10/336,612 WHITE-DROP MASK
XHCC-04	check with HP on sta tis of missing parts USPTO rec 2/11	28 10/094,766 PROGRESSIVE MASK
XAA-18	Status rewritten to Draw'gs Entered 4/18 in PAIR 6/7/5	29 10/265,278 Kane MICROPOLP BILL ARTE
XAA-19	get entered into PAIR system	30 Kane CIRCULATING MICROPOL 10/265,277
XAA-19	Arrete PD fees for annuities Pay Planer	31 08/023,406 INK PRESSURE
XHCC-00	Check PAIR resets	32 10/208,392 RACK AND PANEL
XAA-59	file NEW provisional new file in cabinet	33 11/181,894 CATS-EYE/MER
XAA-18	Status? to be issued 8/23 check PAIR	34 10/336,612 WHITE-DROP MASK
LPer-JW	how to get JN doc to WFC?	35 Kane MICROPOL
LPer-JW	BUG Cindy Coe	36 Potter PERISCOPE
XHCC-61	Query Neal: want ap?	37
XHCC-38	ck stat ready to issue fw/1880: PAID 11/1 fees received 11/8	38 MultiSpecPol (MS/MP) McLean
XHPD-42	Status?	39 10/265,278 Kane MICROPOL
XAA-68	File NEW provisional new file in cabinet Kane NO GIMBALS	40
XHPD-64	Request for Corrt'd Filing Receipt NO Attorney see OA	41
XHCC-36	study Stanley case	42 ACTIVE GND.W/O'VOLTO. PROT'N (Ty Williams)
XHCC-08	Study Neal's ap.	43 10/133,742 SMART BRICK Rs.
XHPD-31	status???	44 09/019,207 Solar MEDIA-IND CALSR 60990045-1
XHPD-32	OA mailed 4/20	45 Kane NO GIMBALS
XAA-42	1-mo. Warning: OA due 8/20-claim/reject/nr doc/pd 8/10/2004 10/265,161	46
LPer-	Bill Brooks	47 Stanley Home Automation
IPER-	PL: MS infl's, XCF/PD/OS	48 GPS/COT study status
XHPD-40	1-mo. WARNING	49 Aram-ana COMPOS. CODESTRIP 60980001-3 (EDO)
XHPD-30	Final OA 1-mo. reply due 9/28	50 09/702,939 -GRAY NEUTRALITY
XHPD-00	Query IP about divisional applic. 6000101-1	51 Cesar FLUORESCENCE-QUENCHING NITRATE
XAA-66	CBDC status of 21 ED cases reset in PAIR some reset	52 fw/Rob, Mimi, Eric & Lois re MLS
	file NEW provisional new file in cabinet	53 Q fractional of time for res.maint. & 55 def
XAA-42	Response from John? eml email 7/26 OA due 8/30 BILL ARTE	54 09/874,234 AER
XHCC-87	fw/PAIR-)Grant deal patent issued 3/1 patents 6862109	55 09/642,417 GII DD
XAA-18	record Assignment	56 cases reset on 3/25 Call if not reset
XAA-83	Record assignment have notarised assign for nat/regional	57 Kane PCT extension
XHPD-64	file assignment	58 10/290,108 NITRATE SENSOR
XHPD-46	assignment done?	59 10/373,219 Garcia PAST MASKBUILDER ??????
XHPD-64	file assignment	60
XAA-60	file NEW provisional new file in cabinet	61 PCT/US03/39535 Kane CATS EYE/MER (a84 a5 a6)
XAA-38	if on final, APPEAL! 2-mo. ext & APPEAL!	62 10/094,766 PROGRESSIVE MASK
XHPD-51	Ck stat in PAIR docketed new case see email 8/18	63 09/845,042 Vega AEROSOL CONTROL
		64 10/020,806-1 PROGRESSIVE MASK
		65 Kane/Salyem QD REFINEMENTS
		66 11/023,209 SHIELDING cont
		67 60012470-2 such RD WORKFLOW 10/124,667

**These deadlines  
all before Sept. 15 (extendable)**

**~first page of SECOND printout~**

**More extendable deadlines  
within one month**

MAA-79	fw/mis'g pts. filed 5/6 Status?	09/07/05	48 11/043,587 deData NEAR-FIELD HALFTONE
MAA-57	If on final, APPEAL? 2-mo. ext & APPEAL?	09/07/05	49 09/046,024 CLC AUTOTRIGGER
MAA-69	Mail patents to KP or Arete	09/08/05	70 10/349,063 Sequence Constraint RPG
MAA-50	1-mo Warning OA due 10/6 claim rejections	09/08/05	71 10/158,743 MASC
MAA-42	OA reply filed 5/9 restriction requir'mt Status? BILL ARTEZ 09/09/05	09/09/05	72 10/290,108 Orser NITRATE SENSOR
MAA-62	stdy NERAC TM report Get back to DaveKane w/assessment	09/09/05	73 CAT2 EYE TRADEMARK
MAA-83	IDS app filed 6/13/05	09/09/05	74 11/151,594 Kene OPTICAL SYSTEM
MAA-31	OA reply	09/10/05	75 09/642,411 MULTILEVEL HT+SD
MAA-33	Incomp'te reply fees status	09/11/05	76 11/018,285 STIL MENS
MAA-09	BILL ARTEZ 3.5 yr maint fees due 12/4 6,400,306 iss 6/4/02 09/11/05	09/11/05	77 08/556,711 Filed 11/13/95
MAA-60	BILL ARTEZ 3.5 yr maint fee due 12/11 6,404,204 (6/11/02) 09/11/05	09/11/05	78 09/752,864 Filed 5/1/00
MAA-50	1-mo Warning! regular-ep 10/3 FLAG 1 -- Needs CLAIMS! 09/12/05	09/12/05	79 60/615,670 THREADED QUIM-DISCONNECT
MAA-26	Status? appeal brief	09/12/05	80 09/682,610 Donovan MODIFIED MATRIX
MAAA-02	2-mo reply Final rejection Avisenians	09/12/05	81 09/886,259 DISTARS DRAFT OA responses
MAA-38	Missing Parts OA 10/12 MARKING! fees & doc/pwr	09/12/05	82 11/151,668 Petter WAVELENGTH CONVERTER
MAA-12	2-mo reply Final rejection	09/13/05	83 10/013,320 Parruglio CTD cont'
MAA-32	Status? OA filed 6/14 PL resolved Fig. problem	09/14/05	84 09/775,771 Gil TRUE 2ba PIPE 60003198-1
IBUS-	??? RW calendar: no ext for formals anymore!	09/14/05	85
MAA-40	2-mo reply to Final rejection DUE 9/28 60018892-1	09/14/05	86 09/874,824 Lemmens AER
MAA-35	status? 693 694 695 696 Nat/Req phase	09/15/05	87 Petter PCF Wavelength converter filed 7/14
MAA-54	status? US/GB cases AUS resp. due 2/19/6 AUS pat. 2001282850	09/15/05	88 Glockler MULTI SLIT LIDAR

\*\* These deadlines are (see definitions above) type C

**Section heading for  
internal deadlines**

**RECORD number listing continues**

**Extendable  
Sept. 15  
deadlines**

**Blank record (harmless),  
made inadvertently**

Another section heading: deadlines over a month away, not extendable

~third page of second (August 16) printout~

RECORD-number sequence continues

Deadlines just after Sept. 15

DEADLINE dates

** These deadlines are (see definitions above) type D			
MAA-37	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	09/16/03
MAA-42	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	09/13/03
ISSUS-	DO STATEMENTS		09/15/03
MMCC-50		file regular ep --in U.S., PCT &/or for/n 10/03/03	09/15/03
MAA-38	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	10/05/03
MMPE-57	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	10/07/03
MMPE-21	filed RCE 103 Amend	on 4/12 Status?	10/12/03
AS81-07	LCD disp CONTR'N	12th-yr. maint. fee 07/705,346 tell RMAI	10/12/03
MAA-28	3mo Warning! prov'l	expires 1/13/06	10/13/03
MAA-30	1 mo Warning! prov'l	expires 1/13/06	10/13/03
MAA-19	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	10/21/03
RJDR-01	HEARTWARE seKrieges 10-year renewal	74/356,218	10/31/03
MMPE-30	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	11/09/03
MAA-27	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	11/16/03
MMPE-48	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	11/19/03
MMPE-28	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	11/21/03
MAA-37	1-mo Warning	foreign filing	due 12/27
MMPE-31	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	12/06/03
MAA-28	1mo Warning! prov'l	expires 1/13/06	12/10/03
MAA-30	1 mo WARNING! prov'l	expires 1/13/06	12/13/03
MMPE-40		0A 6-mo. STAT.	12/13/03
MMPE-60	ISSN FLAG!	foreign filing due	50019892-1
MAA-38		foreign filing	filling date 12/25/04
MAA-37		foreign filing	12/27/03
MAA-42	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	12/29/03
MAA-30	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	01/08/04
MAA-28	FILE REQ APPLIC'N	prov'l expires 1/13	01/12/04
MAA-30	FILE REG APPLIC'N	prov'l expires 1/13	01/12/04
MAA-83	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	01/12/04
MMPE-80	foreign filing due		01/26/04
MMPE-79	foreign filing due	200300585179	01/26/04
AITC-01	PackIT	8th-yr. maint. fee 07/771,395 tell RMAI	01/27/04
MMPE-40	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	01/28/04
MAA-27	on final? APPEAL!	0A 6-mo. STAT.	02/12/04
MAA-54	AUS response DUR	AUS pat. 2001282880	02/19/04
MAA-61		foreign filing	02/23/04
MMCC-07	AIRLESS w/design	Sec. 8-15 DEADLINE	02/16/04
MMCC-06	AIR 4 LESS	75/692,043	03/15/04
MAA-09	MEDICAL LIDAR	4th-year maint. fee 08/556,716	06/04/04
MAA-69	CTO SENSOR	4th-year maint. fee 09/562,849	06/11/04
MAA-83		foreign filing	06/12/04
MMCC-16	ELECTROM. KEY UTIL	12th-yr. maint. fee 09/902,681	06/21/04
MAA-95	Warning! deadline to enter CAN Nat. phase based on 00/440,303		07/15/04
LDJY-07	MAGNETIC LINES	12th-yr. maint. fee 07/952,471	07/26/04
MAA-67	s/m new provisional	filled 8/11/05	08/11/04
MMPE-08	SUCTION BOOST	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/946,294	10/06/04
rVSh-18	WRDG JOINT	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/649,986	12/15/04
MMCC-42	RACK-AND-PANEL	4th-year maint. fee 09/677,331	01/14/05
MMPE-03	cont.	4th-year maint. fee 09/188,915	01/21/05
MMCC-02	KING	10-year renewal	02/18/05
MMCC-03	KING and design	10-year renewal	02/18/05
MAA-55	GAS ANALYER	4th-year maint. fee 09/590,321	03/04/05
MAA-11	CTD SENSOR div'l	4th-year maint. fee 10/010,936	06/10/05
MAA-11	CTD SENSOR div'l	4th-year maint. fee 10/010,936	06/20/05
CRM-13	PAD/PLENUM/PNEUMA	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/802,628	08/29/05
MAA-15	PRINT WIDGET	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/708,785	10/05/05
MAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	12th-yr. maint. fee 08/046,328	11/14/05
LDJY-30	VAMP (reserve)	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/485,473	11/16/05
SSI-09	LCD/phone-entry	12th-yr. maint. fee 08/256,304	12/12/05
MAA-86	Exam Request	DEADLINE AUS	12/13/05
LDJY-19	TABLES	4th-year maint. fee 08/488,492	01/27/06
MAA-31	BIOSIMBOS	4th-year maint. fee 09/100,536	02/17/06
MMCC-38	SMART BRICK	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/739,460	04/23/06
MAA-06	Japan CTD case	foreign filing DUR	06/30/06
MMCC-07	MEJICO EXPRESSw/d	10-year renewal	05/05/06
LDJY-14	MAGN. LINE CONTRIB	12th-yr. maint. fee 08/379,551	06/11/06
MAA-32	PERISCOPE	12th-yr. maint. fee 08/294,241	06/18/06
LDJY-18	MANI	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/487,067	07/25/06
MMCC-06	MEJICO	10-year renewal	09/15/06
MMCC-30	SMART CABLE	12th-yr. maint. fee 08/198,994	10/29/06
MAA-33	servicemark	Sec. 8-15 DEADLINE	09/04/06
MMUL-04		renewal DEADLINE	11/01/06
LDJY-17	WAND w/TD	8th-yr. maint. fee 08/480,561	01/23/09

Nonextendable deadlines over a month away, trailing to 2009 (end of report omitted)



~second page of third printout (August 25)~

Sequence of deadline DATES and RECORD numbers continues  
from first page of printout

KAA-59	Mail patents to HP or Arête	09/08/05	68 10/149,063 Sequence Constraint RPQ
KAA-60	1-mo Warning OA due 10/8 claim rejection drawings	09/08/05	69 10/155,745 MASC
KAA-62	OA reply filed 8/9 restriction requim't Status? BILL ARÈTE	09/09/05	70 10/290,108 OZONE NITRATE SENSOR
KAA-62	stdy. MERAC TM report Get back to DaveKane w/assessment	09/09/05	71 CATS EYE TRADEMARK
KAA-63	IDS app filed 6/13/05	09/09/05	72 11/151,594 Kane OPTICAL SYSTEM
KAA-63	IDS app filed 6/13/05	09/10/05	73 09/642,416 MULTILEVEL HT-4P
KAA-63	incomp'te reply fees status	09/11/05	74 11/018,285 STIL MENS
KAA-69	BILL ARÈTE 3.5 yr maint fees due 12/4 6,400,396 iss 6/4/02	09/11/05	75 09/554,716 filed 11/13/05 T5 1853 - DO - \$750. - 1/2/06
KAA-69	BILL ARÈTE 3.5 yr maint fee due 12/11 6,404,204 (6/11/02)	09/11/05	76 09/751,849 filed 5/1/06
KMC-50	1-mo Warning! regular-ap 10/3 FLAG 1 -- Needs CLAIMS1	09/12/05	77 60/618,670 THREADED QUIK-DISCONNECT
KHPS-26	Status?	09/12/05	78 09/688,610 Donovan MODIFIED MATRIX
KAAA-02	2-mo reply final rejection Avisionis	09/12/05	79 09/686,959 DISTARD DRAFT OA response
KAA-93	Missing Parts OA 10/12 WARNING! fees & dec/pvr	09/12/05	80 11/181,665 Petter Wavelength Converter
KAA-13	2-mo reply Final rejection	09/13/05	81 10/013,320 Farroqia CTD cont'
KHPS-33	Status?OA filed 6/14 PL resolved Fig. problem	09/14/05	82 09/775,771 Gil TRUE 250 PIPE 40003198-1
IBUS-	???	09/14/05	83
KHPS-40	2-mo reply to Final rejection DUS-6/28 60019692-1	09/14/05	84 09/874,524 Lammens ABR
KHPS-75	Gary Small request EPOA response 60/960,030-3	09/15/05	85 Done???
KAA-25	Status?on corrected doc# a93 a94 a95 a96 Nat/Reg phase	09/15/05	86 Petter PCT. Wavelength Converter filed 7/14
KAA-54	status? US/GB canes AUS resp. due 2/19/04 AUS pat. 2001282850	09/15/05	87 Gleckler MULTISLIT LIDAR
KHPS-40	Draft to Durville Two reply to final due 9/28 60019692	09/15/05	88 09/874,524 Lammens ABR
KAA-37	incomp'te reply fees filed 7/16 w/2mo ext STATUS	09/16/05	89 11/023,062 Lubard SHIELDSHEILD divisional
DO -RPQ	request RPQ's 227 a34 a82 to Bill HP	09/17/05	90 a28 a30 a31 a46 a48 a52 a57 a61 RPQ counters
KAA-54	fw/status IDS filed 3/18 Arête billed PD	09/18/05	91 Gleckler U. K. plural-slit STIL BILL ARÈTE
KHPS-26	OA reply/a-mo-ext'n	09/18/05	92 09/949,492 Kiffel CC
IBUS-	DO STATEMENTS	09/20/05	93
IBUS-	make sure all new filings have fw/ celan dered	09/21/05	94
BUS-	DO STATEMENTS	09/21/05	95
KAA-54	If on final, APPEAL! 2-mo-ext & APPEAL?!	09/21/05	96 10/265,277 CIRCULATING MICRO
KHPS-26	If on final, APPEAL! 2-mo-ext & APPEAL?!	09/21/05	97 09/642,416 IMTRAPAD
KAA-63	OA reply	09/22/05	98 11/151,594 CATS EYE/MASC
KAA-50	2-wk Warn!OA due 10/8 claim reject. draw'g cited references	09/23/05	99 10/155,745 MASC

Sept. 15  
deadlines

Section heading, internal deadlines within 7 days

\*\* These deadlines are (see definitions above) type C

IBUS-	add sub-Js	End/debug auctg.syst	08/25/05	100
IBUS-trust		Revise fee K.	08/28/05	101 >mak'g blank scrn., no prnted log/dt status
IBUS-	PAT fw/list-cal.	6 calendar new filings CHECK ALL CASES!	08/25/05	102 add auth'n for monthly wdl. after "n" days.
			08/25/05	103 Kulli so [New system should check from OA&I]
			08/25/05	104 Avisionis REFINEMENTS
			08/28/05	105
			08/30/05	106 10/290,108 NITRATE SENSOR

205  
PC  
hand  
entries  
for use in next update

## Section heading, nonextendable deadlines over 1 month away

### Deadline dates

\*\* These deadlines are (see definitions above) type D

1808-	DO STATEMENTS	09/25/05	107	
WNCa-30	file regular ap --in U.S., PCT &/or for'n 10/03/05	108 based on 6/610,670 THREADED QUIK-DISCONNECT		
XAA-38	on final? APPEAL! OA 6-mo. STAT.	10/06/05	109 11/023,399 SHIPSCHILD cont	
WHP2-57	on final? APPEAL!	10/07/05	110 09/946,024 CIC AUTO_TRIGGER	
WHP2-26	filed RCB IDA amend on 8/12 STATUS?	10/12/05	111 09/688,610 Donegan MODIFIED MATRIX	
ASB1-07	LCD Disp CONTIN'Y 12th-yr. maint. fee 07/705,346 tall EMAI	10/12/05	112 8,352,955 10/12/93 filed 05/24/01	
XAA-28	1 mo Warning! prov'l expires 1/13/06	10/13/05	113 60/643,867 Kane QUAD CELL MBR	
XAA-30	1 mo Warning! prov'l expires 1/13/06	10/13/05	114 60/643,869 Kane AMBS WAVEFRONT SENSOR	
XAA-19	on final? APPEAL!	10/23/05	115 10/268,277 CIRCULATING MICRO	
WJGU-03	HEARTWARE askKrieger 10-year renewal	74/356,218	10/31/05	116 1,931,903 10/31/95 filed 02/05/93
WHP2-30	on final? APPEAL!	11/08/05	117 09/642,417 G31 DD	
XAA-37	OA filed 5/16 STATUS?	11/16/05	118 11/023,042 SHIPSCHILD div'n	
WHP2-48	on final? APPEAL!	11/19/05	119 09/945,492 Eiffel CC	
WHP2-28	on final? APPEAL!	11/21/05	120 09/642,418 INT'RAPAD	
XAA-37	1-mo Warning foreign filing	due 13/27	121 11/023,042 U.S. SHIPSCHILD "jumbo" divisional	
WHP2-31	on final? APPEAL!	12/10/05	122 09/642,416 MULTILEVEL NT+SP	
XAA-38	1-mo Warning! prov'l expires 1/13/06	12/13/05	123 60/643,867 Kane QUAD CELL MBR	
XAA-30	1 mo WARNING! prov'l expires 1/13/06	12/15/05	124 60/643,869 Kane AMBS WAVEFRONT SENSOR	
WHP2-40	OA 6-mo. STAT.	12/17/05	125 09/874,524 Lehmann ABR	
WHP2-80	1mo FLAG!	12/26/05	126 11/043,772 LATENT PRINTING	
XAA-38	foreign filing	12/27/05	127 11/023,399 SHIPSCHILD cont	
XAA-37	foreign filing	12/27/05	128 11/023,042 SHIPSCHILD div'n	
XAA-62	on final? APPEAL!	12/29/05	129 10/390,108 NITRATE SENSOR	
XAA-50	on final? APPEAL!	01/08/06	130 10/159,745 MASC	
XAA-28	FILE REG APPLIC'N prov'l expires 1/13	01/12/06	131 60/643,867 Kane QUAD CELL MBR	
XAA-30	FILE REG APPLIC'N prov'l expires 1/13	01/12/06	132 60/643,869 Kane AMBS WAVEFRONT SENSOR	
XAA-83	on final? APPEAL!	01/22/06	133 11/151,594 CAT3 EVR/MBR	
WHP2-80	foreign filing due	01/26/06	134 11/043,722 LATENT ID PRINT'G	
WHP2-79	foreign filing due	200300585179	01/26/06	135 11/043,997 dePena MEAN-FIELD HALFTONE
BTIC-03	PackIT	01/27/06	136 5,712,990 01/27/98 filed 10/03/91	
WHP2-40	on final? APPEAL!	01/28/06	137 09/874,824 ABR	
XAA-25	on final? APPEAL!	02/12/06	138 11/181,665 Petzak WAVELENGTH CONVERTER	
XAA-54	AUS response DUE	02/19/06	139 Gluckler MULTI SLIT LIDAR	
XAA-61	foreign filing	02/23/06	140 11/053,746 LIDAR follow-on	
WKC-07	AIR4LESS w/design Sec.8-15 DEADLINE	75/692,042	141 2,329,541 03/15/2000 filed 04/26/1999	
WKC-06	AIR 4 LESS Sec.8-15 DEADLINE	75/692,043	142 2,329,542 03/15/2000 filed 04/26/1999	
XAA-09	MEDICAL LIDAR 4th-year maint. fee 08/555,716	06/04/06	143 6,400,396 06/04/2002 filed 11/12/1995	
XAA-49	CTD SENSOR 4th-year maint. fee 09/562,849	06/11/06	144 6,404,204 06/11/2002 filed 09/01/2000	
XAA-83	foreign filing	06/13/06	145 11/151,594 CAT3 EVR/MBR	
WNCa-16	ELECTRON. KEY UTIL 12th-yr. maint. fee 09/902,681	06/21/06	146 6,322,992 06/21/94 filed 06/22/92	
XAA-93	Warning! deadline to enter CAM MAT. phase based on 60/440,303	07/15/06	147 PCT/US047000940 Petzak WAVELENGTH CONVERTER	
IDB2-07	MAGNETIC LINKS 12th-yr. maint. fee 07/952,471	07/26/06	148 5,331,861 07/26/94 filed 09/28/93	
XAA-67	f/w new provisional filed 5/11/05	08/11/06	149 Kane PROJECTOR	
WGRD-08	SUCTION BOOST 8th-yr. maint. fee 08/946,294	10/08/06	150 5,816,156 10/06/98 filed 10/07/97	
EVEN-18	WEDGE JOINT 8th-yr. maint. fee 08/649,986	12/15/06	151 5,848,852 12/15/98 filed 05/17/98	
WNCa-42	RACK-ARD-PANEL 4th-year maint. fee 09/677,331	03/14/07	152 6,506,065 01/14/2003 filed 09/26/2000	
WHP2-03	cont.	01/21/07	153 6,500,814 01/31/2003 filed 11/09/1998	
WKEC-02	KING 10-year renewal 75/047,143	02/18/07	154 2,028,893 02/18/97 filed 01/31/96	
WKEC-03	KING and design 10-year renewal 75/047,142	02/18/07	155 2,038,592 02/18/97 filed 01/31/96	
XAA-55	CAB ANALYZER 6th-year maint. fee 09/590,321	03/04/07	156 6,527,398 03/04/2003 filed 06/08/2000	
XAA-11	CTD SENSOR DIV'L 4th-year maint. fee 10/010,936	06/10/07	157 6,877,134 06/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001	
XAA-11	CTD SENSOR DIV'L 4th-year maint. fee 10/010,936	06/10/07	158 6,877,134 06/10/2003 filed 12/06/2001	
XRM-13	PAD/PLENUM/PNEUMA 8th-yr. maint. fee 08/802,628	06/29/07	159 8,915,687 06/29/1999 filed 03/19/1997	
XAA-15	PRINT WIDGET 8th-yr. maint. fee 08/709,785	10/05/07	160 5,963,657 10/05/1999 filed 09/09/1998	
XAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR 12th-yr. maint. fee 08/046,335	11/14/07	161 5,467,132 11/14/95 filed 04/12/93	
IDB2-20	WAND (reserve) 8th-yr. maint. fee 08/483,473	11/18/07	162 5,986,372 11/16/1999 filed 06/07/1995	
ASB1-09	LCD/phone-entry 12th-yr. maint. fee 08/254,394	12/13/07	163 5,475,741 12/12/95 filed 04/06/94	
XAA-86	Exam Request DEADLINE AUS	12/13/07	164	
IDB2-19	TABLES 4th-year maint. fee 08/488,492	01/27/08	165 6,682,217 01/27/2004 filed 06/07/1995	
XAA-31	BIOSENSOR 4th-year maint. fee 08/100,526	02/17/08	166 6,692,496 02/17/2004 filed 06/18/1998	
WNCa-38	SMART BRICK 8th-yr. maint. fee 08/739,460	04/25/08	167 6,056,846 04/25/2000 filed 10/20/1998	
XAA-04	Japan CTD case foreign filing DUE	04/30/08	168 03/862,74 CTD SENSOR	
WKEC-07	MEJICO EXPRESSw/d 10-year renewal 75/267,217	05/05/08	169 2,154,872 05/05/98 filed 03/11/97	
IDB2-14	MAGN. LENS CONTIN 12th-yr. maint. fee 08/279,551	06/11/08	170 5,524,495 06/11/98 filed 07/25/94	
XAA-02	PERISCOPE 12th-yr. maint. fee 08/394,241	06/18/08	171 5,528,493 06/18/96 filed 08/22/94	
IDB2-18	WAND 8th-yr. maint. fee 08/487,067	07/25/08	172 6,093,985 07/25/2000 filed 06/06/1995	
WKEC-06	MEJICO 10-year renewal 75/250,732	09/15/08	173 2,188,946 09/15/1998 filed 02/11/1997	
WNCa-30	SMART CABLE 12th-yr. maint. fee 08/198,994	10/28/08	174 5,670,001 10/28/98 filed 03/18/94	
XAA-32	servicemark Sec.8-15 DEADLINE	10/29/08	175 2,641,672 10/29/2002 filed 05/09/2000	
WTU-04	removal DEADLINE	11/01/08	176 "DAR COLLECTION" reg 1,810,706 11/1/88	
IDB2-17	WAND V/TD 8th-yr. maint. fee 08/480,561	01/23/09	177 8,176,616 01/23/2001 filed 06/06/1995	
WHP2-02	"CAP" HOUSE 8th-yr. maint. fee 08/923,213	01/13/09	178 6,100,873 01/13/2001 filed 09/03/1997	
XRM-09	CLOG/DUST CONTROL 12th-yr. maint. fee 08/561,788	07/08/09	179 8,645,379 07/08/97 filed 11/22/95	

DATES of these deadlines  
-- ALL after September 15

~third page of third (August 25) printout~

CONTACT USPTO  
Deposit Act. change phone & fax  
Docket in "old calendar  
printouts" a 69  
6,404,204

A. STATUTORY deadlines within 30 days  
B. EXTENDABLE deadlines within 30 days  
C. INTERNAL deadlines within 7 days  
D. STATUTORY deadlines over 30 days away  
E. EXTENDABLE deadlines over 30 days away  
F. INTERNAL deadlines over 7 days away

W'wd. either home 09/13/05  
KEY (at top of 4th printout)

Section headings, as before

Rec

10/17/04  
10/21/04

9/13 Certificate  
of Correction - 10/17/04  
DATE of 4th printout

09/495,886

14:30

Deadline on Sept. 15

\*\* These deadlines are (see definitions above) type A  
XHPE-82 revive called back?? EdWest/ln471/272-2636 Alfaro 60970047-1 09/15/05 1 00/495,006 ASYMM. RESOL'N  
XAA-82 payment to USPTO for PCT filing feed in-78 n/billed:YET 09/16/05 2 Kana/Bottler LIDAR PHASE PCT/US2005/028777  
XSS-07 LCD Disp CONTIN'N 12th-yr. maint. fee 07/705,346 tell RXMA 09/28/05 3 5,252,955 10/12/93 filed 05/24/91 PATENT EXPIRED 11/26/01  
XHCE-50 file regular sp --in U.S., PCT 4/or for'n 10/03/05 4 based on 40/615,670 THREADED QUIK-DISCONNECT  
XAA-28 J no Warning! prev'l expires 1/13/06 10/13/05 5 60/643,867 Kana QUAD CELL MBR  
XAA-30 J no Warning! prev'l expires 1/13/06 10/13/05 6 60/643,869 Kana AEMS WAVEFRONT SENSOR

\*\* These deadlines are (see definitions above) type B  
XAA-13 J-no reply Final rejection B, 1/1 arete 09/13/05 7 10/013,320 Farruggia CTD cont'  
XHPZ-40 I-no WARNING Final OA 2-mo reply due 9/28 09/14/05 8 09/874,524 ABR  
XHPZ-11 OA 6-mo. STAT. 09/14/05 9 09/775,771 TRUE 25C PIPE  
XAA-13 Inv Warning w/2mo ex abstract, drawings claim rejections 09/14/05 10 10/265,277 CIRCULATING MICRO  
XHPZ-40 Draft to Durville 2mo reply to final due 9/28 60019892 09/14/05 11 09/874,524 Lamson ABR  
XHPC-30 Query HP about divisional applic 60001011-1 09/15/05 12 09/642,617 GIL NO  
XHPC-75 Gary Small request EPOA response 60/960,030-3 09/15/05 13 Doms???

XAA-29 Arete PD fees for annuitized Pay Fiesner 11/22/05 14 Potter PERISCOPE  
XAA-54 Fv/status 1DB filed 3/18 Arete billed PD 09/18/05 15 Glacklin U. S. plurals-slit STIL BILL ARETE  
XAA-54 Status? 8/23/05 Pat 6,934,435 09/19/05 16 10/265,378 Kana MICROPUMP BILL ARETE  
XAA-83 3 wk Warning/CA 9/22 fees dec/pwr draw'gs Bill arete 09/19/05 17 11/151,584 CATS EYE/MBR  
XHPE-28 PL fw/Furuya amnt. 09/19/05 18 Cluet INTRAPAD 60990047 (JP)  
XHCE-51 Query Heal: want sp ? 09/19/05 19 ACTIVE CWD. W/O/VOLTC. PROT'N (Ty Williams)  
XHCE-36 study Stanley case 09/19/05 20 Stanley Home Automation  
XHCE-08 Study Heal's sp. Bird in hand! 09/19/05 21 GPS/CC: study status  
XHCE-50 1-no Warning! regular-sp 10/3 FLAG ! -- Needs CLAIMS! 09/19/05 22 60/615,670 THREADED QUIK-DISCONNECT  
XAA-54 status? US/GB cases AUS resp. due 9/19/06 AUS pat. 2001282850 09/19/05 23 Gleckler MULTI SLIT LIDAR  
1PER- Bill Brooks 09/19/05 24 fw/Bob, Mimi, Eric & Lois re WLS  
1PER- NB infl's, KCT/FB/GB 09/19/05 25 0 fractional of time for res.maint. & 55 def  
XAA-28 nies'g pts filed 6/5 heads presen before going to examiner 09/20/05 26 11/023,299 SHIPSHEILD cont  
00-RPO request RPO's 2/27 3/28 4/1 to Bill HP 09/20/05 27 320 350 360 365 370 375 380 385 390 395 400 405 410 415 420 425 430 435 440 445 450 455 460 465 470 475 480 485 490 495 500 505 510 515 520 525 530 535 540 545 550 555 560 565 570 575 580 585 590 595 600 605 610 615 620 625 630 635 640 645 650 655 660 665 670 675 680 685 690 695 700 705 710 715 720 725 730 735 740 745 750 755 760 765 770 775 780 785 790 795 800 805 810 815 820 825 830 835 840 845 850 855 860 865 870 875 880 885 890 895 900 905 910 915 920 925 930 935 940 945 950 955 960 965 970 975 980 985 990 995 1000 1005 1010 1015 1020 1025 1030 1035 1040 1045 1050 1055 1060 1065 1070 1075 1080 1085 1090 1095 1100 1105 1110 1115 1120 1125 1130 1135 1140 1145 1150 1155 1160 1165 1170 1175 1180 1185 1190 1195 1200 1205 1210 1215 1220 1225 1230 1235 1240 1245 1250 1255 1260 1265 1270 1275 1280 1285 1290 1295 1300 1305 1310 1315 1320 1325 1330 1335 1340 1345 1350 1355 1360 1365 1370 1375 1380 1385 1390 1395 1400 1405 1410 1415 1420 1425 1430 1435 1440 1445 1450 1455 1460 1465 1470 1475 1480 1485 1490 1495 1500 1505 1510 1515 1520 1525 1530 1535 1540 1545 1550 1555 1560 1565 1570 1575 1580 1585 1590 1595 1600 1605 1610 1615 1620 1625 1630 1635 1640 1645 1650 1655 1660 1665 1670 1675 1680 1685 1690 1695 1700 1705 1710 1715 1720 1725 1730 1735 1740 1745 1750 1755 1760 1765 1770 1775 1780 1785 1790 1795 1800 1805 1810 1815 1820 1825 1830 1835 1840 1845 1850 1855 1860 1865 1870 1875 1880 1885 1890 1895 1900 1905 1910 1915 1920 1925 1930 1935 1940 1945 1950 1955 1960 1965 1970 1975 1980 1985 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 2050 2055 2060 2065 2070 2075 2080 2085 2090 2095 2100 2105 2110 2115 2120 2125 2130 2135 2140 2145 2150 2155 2160 2165 2170 2175 2180 2185 2190 2195 2200 2205 2210 2215 2220 2225 2230 2235 2240 2245 2250 2255 2260 2265 2270 2275 2280 2285 2290 2295 2300 2305 2310 2315 2320 2325 2330 2335 2340 2345 2350 2355 2360 2365 2370 2375 2380 2385 2390 2395 2400 2405 2410 2415 2420 2425 2430 2435 2440 2445 2450 2455 2460 2465 2470 2475 2480 2485 2490 2495 2500 2505 2510 2515 2520 2525 2530 2535 2540 2545 2550 2555 2560 2565 2570 2575 2580 2585 2590 2595 2600 2605 2610 2615 2620 2625 2630 2635 2640 2645 2650 2655 2660 2665 2670 2675 2680 2685 2690 2695 2700 2705 2710 2715 2720 2725 2730 2735 2740 2745 2750 2755 2760 2765 2770 2775 2780 2785 2790 2795 2800 2805 2810 2815 2820 2825 2830 2835 2840 2845 2850 2855 2860 2865 2870 2875 2880 2885 2890 2895 2900 2905 2910 2915 2920 2925 2930 2935 2940 2945 2950 2955 2960 2965 2970 2975 2980 2985 2990 2995 3000 3005 3010 3015 3020 3025 3030 3035 3040 3045 3050 3055 3060 3065 3070 3075 3080 3085 3090 3095 3100 3105 3110 3115 3120 3125 3130 3135 3140 3145 3150 3155 3160 3165 3170 3175 3180 3185 3190 3195 3200 3205 3210 3215 3220 3225 3230 3235 3240 3245 3250 3255 3260 3265 3270 3275 3280 3285 3290 3295 3300 3305 3310 3315 3320 3325 3330 3335 3340 3345 3350 3355 3360 3365 3370 3375 3380 3385 3390 3395 3400 3405 3410 3415 3420 3425 3430 3435 3440 3445 3450 3455 3460 3465 3470 3475 3480 3485 3490 3495 3500 3505 3510 3515 3520 3525 3530 3535 3540 3545 3550 3555 3560 3565 3570 3575 3580 3585 3590 3595 3600 3605 3610 3615 3620 3625 3630 3635 3640 3645 3650 3655 3660 3665 3670 3675 3680 3685 3690 3695 3700 3705 3710 3715 3720 3725 3730 3735 3740 3745 3750 3755 3760 3765 3770 3775 3780 3785 3790 3795 3800 3805 3810 3815 3820 3825 3830 3835 3840 3845 3850 3855 3860 3865 3870 3875 3880 3885 3890 3895 3900 3905 3910 3915 3920 3925 3930 3935 3940 3945 3950 3955 3960 3965 3970 3975 3980 3985 3990 3995 4000 4005 4010 4015 4020 4025 4030 4035 4040 4045 4050 4055 4060 4065 4070 4075 4080 4085 4090 4095 4100 4105 4110 4115 4120 4125 4130 4135 4140 4145 4150 4155 4160 4165 4170 4175 4180 4185 4190 4195 4200 4205 4210 4215 4220 4225 4230 4235 4240 4245 4250 4255 4260 4265 4270 4275 4280 4285 4290 4295 4300 4305 4310 4315 4320 4325 4330 4335 4340 4345 4350 4355 4360 4365 4370 4375 4380 4385 4390 4395 4400 4405 4410 4415 4420 4425 4430 4435 4440 4445 4450 4455 4460 4465 4470 4475 4480 4485 4490 4495 4500 4505 4510 4515 4520 4525 4530 4535 4540 4545 4550 4555 4560 4565 4570 4575 4580 4585 4590 4595 4600 4605 4610 4615 4620 4625 4630 4635 4640 4645 4650 4655 4660 4665 4670 4675 4680 4685 4690 4695 4700 4705 4710 4715 4720 4725 4730 4735 4740 4745 4750 4755 4760 4765 4770 4775 4780 4785 4790 4795 4800 4805 4810 4815 4820 4825 4830 4835 4840 4845 4850 4855 4860 4865 4870 4875 4880 4885 4890 4895 4900 4905 4910 4915 4920 4925 4930 4935 4940 4945 4950 4955 4960 4965 4970 4975 4980 4985 4990 4995 5000 5005 5010 5015 5020 5025 5030 5035 5040 5045 5050 5055 5060 5065 5070 5075 5080 5085 5090 5095 5100 5105 5110 5115 5120 5125 5130 5135 5140 5145 5150 5155 5160 5165 5170 5175 5180 5185 5190 5195 5200 5205 5210 5215 5220 5225 5230 5235 5240 5245 5250 5255 5260 5265 5270 5275 5280 5285 5290 5295 5300 5305 5310 5315 5320 5325 5330 5335 5340 5345 5350 5355 5360 5365 5370 5375 5380 5385 5390 5395 5400 5405 5410 5415 5420 5425 5430 5435 5440 5445 5450 5455 5460 5465 5470 5475 5480 5485 5490 5495 5500 5505 5510 5515 5520 5525 5530 5535 5540 5545 5550 5555 5560 5565 5570 5575 5580 5585 5590 5595 5600 5605 5610 5615 5620 5625 5630 5635 5640 5645 5650 5655 5660 5665 5670 5675 5680 5685 5690 5695 5700 5705 5710 5715 5720 5725 5730 5735 5740 5745 5750 5755 5760 5765 5770 5775 5780 5785 5790 5795 5800 5805 5810 5815 5820 5825 5830 5835 5840 5845 5850 5855 5860 5865 5870 5875 5880 5885 5890 5895 5900 5905 5910 5915 5920 5925 5930 5935 5940 5945 5950 5955 5960 5965 5970 5975 5980 5985 5990 5995 6000 6005 6010 6015 6020 6025 6030 6035 6040 6045 6050 6055 6060 6065 6070 6075 6080 6085 6090 6095 6100 6105 6110 6115 6120 6125 6130 6135 6140 6145 6150 6155 6160 6165 6170 6175 6180 6185 6190 6195 6200 6205 6210 6215 6220 6225 6230 6235 6240 6245 6250 6255 6260 6265 6270 6275 6280 6285 6290 6295 6300 6305 6310 6315 6320 6325 6330 6335 6340 6345 6350 6355 6360 6365 6370 6375 6380 6385 6390 6395 6400 6405 6410 6415 6420 6425 6430 6435 6440 6445 6450 6455 6460 6465 6470 6475 6480 6485 6490 6495 6500 6505 6510 6515 6520 6525 6530 6535 6540 6545 6550 6555 6560 6565 6570 6575 6580 6585 6590 6595 6600 6605 6610 6615 6620 6625 6630 6635 6640 6645 6650 6655 6660 6665 6670 6675 6680 6685 6690 6695 6700 6705 6710 6715 6720 6725 6730 6735 6740 6745 6750 6755 6760 6765 6770 6775 6780 6785 6790 6795 6800 6805 6810 6815 6820 6825 6830 6835 6840 6845 6850 6855 6860 6865 6870 6875 6880 6885 6890 6895 6900 6905 6910 6915 6920 6925 6930 6935 6940 6945 6950 6955 6960 6965 6970 6975 6980 6985 6990 6995 7000 7005 7010 7015 7020 7025 7030 7035 7040 7045 7050 7055 7060 7065 7070 7075 7080 7085 7090 7095 7100 7105 7110 7115 7120 7125 7130 7135 7140 7145 7150 7155 7160 7165 7170 7175 7180 7185 7190 7195 7200 7205 7210 7215 7220 7225 7230 7235 7240 7245 7250 7255 7260 7265 7270 7275 7280 7285 7290 7295 7300 7305 7310 7315 7320 7325 7330 7335 7340 7345 7350 7355 7360 7365 7370 7375 7380 7385 7390 7395 7400 7405 7410 7415 7420 7425 7430 7435 7440 7445 7450 7455 7460 7465 7470 7475 7480 7485 7490 7495 7500 7505 7510 7515 7520 7525 7530 7535 7540 7545 7550 7555 7560 7565 7570 7575 7580 7585 7590 7595 7600 7605 7610 7615 7620 7625 7630 7635 7640 7645 7650 7655 7660 7665 7670 7675 7680 7685 7690 7695 7700 7705 7710 7715 7720 7725 7730 7735 7740 7745 7750 7755 7760 7765 7770 7775 7780 7785 7790 7795 7800 7805 7810 7815 7820 7825 7830 7835 7840 7845 7850 7855 7860 7865 7870 7875 7880 7885 7890 7895 7900 7905 7910 7915 7920 7925 7930 7935 7940 7945 7950 7955 7960 7965 7970 7975 7980 7985 7990 7995 8000 8005 8010 8015 8020 8025 8030 8035 8040 8045 8050 8055 8060 8065 8070 8075 8080 8085 8090 8095 8100 8105 8110 8115 8120 8125 8130 8135 8140 8145 8150 8155 8160 8165 8170 8175 8180 8185 8190 8195 8200 8205 8210 8215 8220 8225 8230 8235 8240 8245 8250 8255 8260 8265 8270 8275 8280 8285 8290 8295 8300 8305 8310 8315 8320 8325 8330 8335 8340 8345 8350 8355 8360 8365 8370 8375 8380 8385 8390 8395 8400 8405 8410 8415 8420 8425 8430 8435 8440 8445 8450 8455 8460 8465 8470 8475 8480 8485 8490 8495 8500 8505 8510 8515 8520 8525 8530 8535 8540 8545 8550 8555 8560 8565 8570 8575 8580 8585 8590 8595 8600 8605 8610 8615 8620 8625 8630 8635 8640 8645 8650 8655 8660 8665 8670 8675 8680 8685 8690 8695 8700 8705 8710 8715 8720 8725 8730 8735 8740 8745 8750 8755 8760 8765 8770 8775 8780 8785 8790 8795 8800 8805 8810 8815 8820 8825 8830 8835 8840 8845 8850 8855 8860 8865 8870 8875 8880 8885 8890 8895 8900 8905 8910 8915 8920 8925 8930 8935 8940 8945 8950 8955 8960 8965 8970 8975 8980 8985 8990 8995 9000 9005 9010 9015 9020 9025 9030 9035 9040 9045 9050 9055 9060 9065 9070 9075 9080 9085 9090 9095 9100 9105 9110 9115 9120 9125 9130 9135 9140 9145 9150 9155 9160 9165 9170 9175 9180 9185 9190 9195 9200 9205 9210 9215 9220 9225 9230 9235 9240 9245 9250 9255 9260 9265 9270 9275 9280 9285 9290 9295 9300 9305 9310 9315 9320 9325 9330 9335 9340 9345 9350 9355 9360 9365 9370 9375 9380 9385 9390 9395 9400 9405 9410 9415 9420 9425 9430 9435 9440 9445 9450 9455 9460 9465 9470 9475 9480 9485 9490 9495 9500 9505 9510 9515 9520 9525 9530 9535 9540 9545 9550 9555 9560 9565 9570 9575 9580 9585 9590 9595 9600 9605 9610 9615 9620 9625 9630 9635 9640 9645 9650 9655 9660 9665 9670 9675 9680 9685 9690 9695 9700 9705 9710 9715 9720 9725 9730 9735 9740 9745 9750 9755 9760 9765 9770 9775 9780 9785 9790 9795 9800 9805 9810 9815 9820 9825 9830 983



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

# FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE: 4/27/07

TO: Peter Lippman  
(NAME)

775) 477-8823  
(FACSIMILE NUMBER)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(ORGANIZATION)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(TELEPHONE NUMBER)

SENDER: L. Walsh  
(NAME)

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES 03 (INCLUDING THIS COVER PAGE)

NOTE: The facsimile number shown on this cover is for informal/courtesy copies ONLY!!!!  
If you have not received all pages or you have any questions about this transmission, please  
contact the **OFFICE OF PETITIONS** as follows:

DIRECT TELEPHONE NO.: 571-272-3282

FACSIMILE NO. 571-273-0025

BRIEF MESSAGE:



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Paper No. 21

**PETER I. LIPPMAN**  
**4385 OCEAN VIEW BLVD.**  
**MONTROSE, CA 91020**

**COPY MAILED**

JUL 15 2005

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Bowker et al.  
Patent No. 5,467,122  
Application No. 08/046,335  
Filed: April 12, 1993  
Issued: November 14, 1995  
Attorney Docket No. xAA-05

**ON PETITION**

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.378(c), filed March 15, 2004, to accept the delayed payment of a maintenance fee for the above-identified patent.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration under 37 CFR 1.378(e) must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. No extension of this two-month time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). This is not final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

A petition to accept the unintentionally delayed payment of a maintenance fee under 35 U.S.C. § 41(c) and 37 CFR 1.378(c) must be accompanied by: (1) a statement that the delay was unintentional; (2) payment of the appropriate maintenance fee, unless previously submitted; (3) payment of the surcharge set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(i)(2).

This petition lacks items (2) and (3) above. The funds were attempted to be collected from petitioner's deposit account, No. 12-1639 numerous times, but there was never sufficient funds. As of July 2005, the account is listed as having a negative balance. Accordingly, to reinstate the above-identified application, petitioner must supply the maintenance fee and the \$1640.00 surcharge.

**Any petition for reconsideration of this decision must be accompanied by the petition fee of \$130 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h).** The petition for reconsideration must include the lacking item(s) noted above, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Commissioner.

Petitioner should note that if this petition is not renewed, or if renewed and not granted, then the maintenance fees and post expiration surcharge are refundable. Petitioner may request a refund of the fees submitted on March 17, 2004. Please send all requests for refunds to the following address:

Patent No. 5,467,122

Page 2

Mail Stop 16  
Director of the US Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

A copy of this decision should accompany petitioner's request.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITIONS  
Commissioner for Patents  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: Customer Window located at:  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Customer Service Window Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax: (571) 273-8300  
ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3206.

*Liana Chase*  
Liana Chase  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy

Page No. 1  
11/18/95

\*\* F

xAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	4th-year maint. fee	08/046,335	11/14/99 n 553 5,467,122 11/14/95 fitted 04/12/93
xAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	maint. 11/14 FLAG!	08/046,335	10/15/99 n 554 5,467,122 11/14/95 fitted 04/12/93
xAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	8th-yr. maint. fee	08/046,335	11/14/03 n 555 5,467,122 11/14/95 fitted 04/12/93
xAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	maint. 11/14 FLAG!	08/046,335	10/15/03 n 556 5,467,122 11/14/95 fitted 04/12/93
xAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	12th-yr. maint. fee	08/046,335	11/14/07 n 557 5,467,122 11/14/95 filed 04/12/93
xAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	maint. 11/14 FLAG!	08/046,335	10/15/07 n 558 5,467,122 11/14/95 filed 04/12/93
xAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	3.5-yr. maint. fee	08/046,335	05/14/99 n 559 5,467,122 11/14/95 filed 04/12/93
xAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	maint. 05/14 FLAG!	08/046,335	04/14/99 n 560 5,467,122 11/14/95 filed 04/12/93
xAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	7.5-yr. maint. fee	08/046,335	05/14/03 n 561 5,467,122 11/14/95 filed 04/12/93
xAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	maint. 05/14 FLAG!	08/046,335	04/14/03 n 562 5,467,122 11/14/95 fitted 04/12/93
xAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	11.5-yr. maint. fee	08/046,335	05/14/07 n 563 5,467,122 11/14/95 filed 04/12/93
xAA-05	OCEAN-VOL. LIDAR	maint. 05/14 FLAG!	08/046,335	04/14/07 n 564 5,467,122 11/14/95 filed 04/12/93

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patentees: J. Kent Bowker and  
Stephen C. Lubard

Patent No.: 5,467,122

Filed: April 12, 1993

For: "UNDERWATER IMAGING IN REAL  
TIME USING SUBSTANTIALLY DI-  
RECT DEPTH-TO-DISPLAY-HEIGHT  
LIDAR STREAK MAPPING"

Our docket: xAA-05

Office of  
Petitions

**DECLARATION OF HOLLY B. AGUILERA**

Hon. Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I, HOLLY B. AGUILERA, declare as follows.

1. I was employed by Patent Attorney Peter Lippman as an office clerk in his Montrose, California private-lawfirm patent-law office for a few months in 1993 (at that time as

assistant clerk), and later again for nearly eight years — from the fall of 1996 through June 16, 2004 when he left southern California to live in Reno, Nevada.

2. During most of my second employment with Mr. Lippman, I was his only employee; however, for about the last year and a half we had another employee who was assistant clerk, Miss Nichole Cecere.

3. During my employments, at first Mr. Lippman was associated with another patent lawyer, Mr. Robert Ashen. Later that relationship ended — and Mr. Lippman became a sole practitioner. From my viewpoint, however, there was very little difference between the two situations: the employment was with Mr. Lippman, and was continuous.

4. When I first started work for Mr. Lippman, I knew essentially nothing about patents. He trained me in all aspects of his private patent-lawfirm operations, and well before late 2003 (when the subject maintenance fee came due) I was able to perform essentially all the clerical functions of his office with minimal supervision. I found patent work interesting, and I enjoyed it — as I do now.

5. When Mr. Lippman left California, by virtue of the training he had provided I was easily able to find other employment in the field of patents. My first such position was with the patent department of Amgen Incorporated; I was successful there, but the commuting time was extreme, so after about one year I decided to take work closer to my home. My next position was with Precision Dynamics Corpo-

ration in San Fernando, California — and I held that job for two years, until early last month (May 2007) when I took an opportunity to join the patent department of the well-known Disney company.

6. My patent-prosecution skills in these positions have been found acceptable, and in the first two employments were rewarded with routine wage increases.

7. Of my own knowledge, it is particularly true that Mr. Lippman is careful, thorough and very patient in training employees. That is true not only for me but also for his participation in training a previously mentioned assistant clerk, who worked for Mr. Lippman part-time for a year and a half, partly under my supervision, during my employment with him.

8. I have read a May 22 draft of Mr. Lippman's "Petition to Accept Unavoidably Delayed Payment of Maintenance Fee in an Expired Patent", including the Exhibits. Based on the dates involved, many of the passages in that draft Petition are outside my direct experience; I cannot comment on them.

9. I agree with all of the portions dealing with me and my participation in the efforts to pay the 2003 maintenance fee for U. S. 5,467,122 — particularly the discussions in the "Additional Sheets" section 9, and the first parts of sections 10 and 11.

10. As the Petition states, it was I who drafted the November 2003 letter (Exhibit M of the present Petition) that

had a faulty mailing certification, and who also drafted the March 2004 letter (Exhibit N of the present Petition) that explained how the date came to be deleted from the mailing certification. Of my own knowledge, that explanation was completely true; and the discussion of it in the present Petition (section 9) is likewise true.

11. I remember feeling embarrassed for having deleted the date from the end of the mailing-certification paragraph, in the November 2003 coverletter. Nevertheless I do agree that overlooking the deletion was one of those "human errors" which does very occasionally occur, even despite great care to avoid them.


12. The reason for that, in my opinion, trying to look at the work very objectively, is that in every document going to the PTO there are many details of great importance, any single one of which can cause a document to misfire severely. Considering myself a professional in this field, I always do my best to check — and double check — each and every detail, and sooner or later a mistaken computer key-stroke or two has a disproportionate effect and produces a disastrous result, which somehow is just not noticed. Computers are wonderful machines: they tremendously amplify our capabilities, but unfortunately also our errors.

13. When I made that error, having done such work for more than seven years I knew perfectly well that a mailing certification had to have a date in it. The reason I made that deletion was not that I wanted to remove the date, but

rather that I wanted to correct the address, and removed the date accidentally in the process.

All statements herein made of my own knowledge are true; all statements made on information and belief I believe to be true. I understand that willful false statements and the like herein are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both (18 U.S.C. 1001) and may jeopardize the validity of the subject patent.

June 5, 2007  
date

  
Holly B. Aguilera

CUSTOMER NUMBER 38637 RUN DATE: 06/09/04 CUSTOMER NUMBER ASSIGNMENTS TO PATENTS AND PENDING APPLICATIONS  
 PETER I. LIPPMAN  
 4385 OCEAN VIEW BOULEVARD  
 MONTROSE, CA 91020  
 UNITED STATES

APPLICATION NUMBER	PATENT NUMBER	FILING DATE	PATENT DATE	CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	PRACTITIONER OF RECORD	FEES ADDRESS
08294241 ACCEPTED	5528493 PETER I. LIPPMAN	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	
08046335 ACCEPTED	5467122 FULBRIGHT AND JAWORSKI L L P	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	
08556716 ACCEPTED	6400396 PETER I. LIPPMAN	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	
10010936 ACCEPTED	6577134 PETER I. LIPPMAN	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	
10013320 ACCEPTED	PETER I. LIPPMAN	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	
10265278 ACCEPTED	ASHEN & LIPPMAN	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	
60327760 ACCEPTED	PETER LIPPMAN	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	
60322759 ACCEPTED	PETER LIPPMAN	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	
10426907 ACCEPTED	Peter I. Lippman	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	
603377323 ACCEPTED	PETER LIPPMAN	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	
60388932 ACCEPTED	PETER LIPPMAN	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	
60433301 ACCEPTED	PETER LIPPMAN	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	
09100526 ACCEPTED	6692696 PETER I. LIPPMAN	01/01/01	01/01/01	YES PRIOR CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	NO	

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**Request for  
Customer Number  
Data Change**

**Address to:**  
Mail Stop EBC  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

To the Commissioner for Patents:

38637

Please record the following data changes to Customer Number:

Please change Address to:


Firm or Individual Name	Peter I. Lippman		
Address	17900 Mockingbird Drive		
Address			
City	Reno	State	NV
Country	USA		
Telephone	775/677-8822	Fax	775/677-8823

Please delete the following practitioner registration number(s) from the Customer Number indicated above:


Please add the following practitioner registration number(s) from the Customer Number above:


Additional practitioner registration numbers are listed on supplemental sheet(s) attached hereto (PTO/SB/124B or equivalent)

**Request Submitted by:** (must be a person, e.g. registered practitioner, associated with the customer number shown above)

Firm Name (if applicable)	Law Office of Peter Lippman		
Name of Person Submitting request	Peter Lippman		
Signature		Registration No.	22835
Telephone Number	775/677-8822	Date	July 10, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.33. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop EBC, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

*If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option.*

**Exhibit F**



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NUMBER	PATENT NUMBER	GROUP ART UNIT	FILE WRAPPER LOCATION
08/046,335	5467122	2615	47LC

**Change of Address/Power of Attorney**

The following fields have been set to Customer Number 38637 on

- Correspondence Address

The address of record for Customer Number 38637 is:

PETER I. LIPPMAN  
17900 MOCKINGBIRD LANE  
RENO, NV 89506

The Practitioners of record for Customer Number 38637 are:

**Petitions Office please note:  
This document came from PAIR,  
where it is shown with a date of  
December 14, 2004.**

**PTO INSTRUCTIONS:**

Please take the following action when the correspondence address has been changed to a customer number:

- 1) Add 'ADDRESS CHANGE TO CUSTOMER NUMBER' on the next available content line of the File Jacket.
- 2) Put a line through the old address on the File Jacket and enter the Customer Number as the new address.
- 3) File this Notice in the File Jacket.

Please take the following action when the correspondence address has NOT been changed:

- 1) File this Notice in the File Jacket

## application serial and title for subject patent

United States Patent & Trademark Office - Mozilla Firefox

Secured Patent Application Information Retrieval

XML download Order Certified Application As Filed Order Certified File Wrapper View Order List

US046,335 UNDERWATER IMAGING IN REAL TIME USING SUBSTANTIALLY DIRECT DEPTH-TO-DISPLAY-HEIGHT LIDAR STREAK MAPPING

Patent Business  
• Electronic Filing  
• Patent Application Information (PAIR)  
• Patent Ownership  
• Fees  
• Supplemental Resources & Support

Patent Information  
• Patent Guidance and General Info  
• Codes, Rules & Manuals  
• Employee & Office Directories  
• Resources & Public Notices

Patent Searches  
• Patent Official Gazette  
• Search Patents & Applications  
• Search Biological Sequences  
• Copies, Products & Services

Other  
• Copyrights  
• Trademarks  
• Policy & Law  
• Reports

12-14-2004 Document Description Document Category Page Count  
Change of Address PROSECUTION 1

If you need help:

- Call the Patent Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197 (toll free) or e-mail [EBC@uspto.gov](mailto:EBC@uspto.gov) for specific questions about Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR).
- Send general questions about USPTO programs to the [USPTO Contact Center \(UCC\)](mailto:USPTO Contact Center (UCC)).
- If you experience technical difficulties or problems with this application, please report them via e-mail to [Electronic Business Support](mailto:Electronic Business Support) or call 1 800 788-9199.

You can suggest USPTO webpages or material you would like featured on this section by E-mail to the [webmaster@uspto.gov](mailto:webmaster@uspto.gov). While we cannot promise to accommodate all requests, your suggestions will be considered and may lead to other improvements on the website.

https://portal.uspto.gov/secure/MyPortal/1/a.html/04\_S9SP0syy0xPLMnC0V0Y\_Q0X1WqP0uF0-ofR0LGo0hAB4\_B3F19D80

"Mail Room Date" for "Change of Address"

PATENT,  
TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT,  
& TRADE-SECRET LAW

LAW OFFICE OF  
**PETER I. LIPPMAN**  
17900 MOCKINGBIRD DRIVE  
RENO, NEVADA 89506

TELEPHONE 775/677-8822  
FACSIMILE 775/677-8823  
EMAIL PL@A-L.COM

May 21, 2007

Mail Stop EBC  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX only  
571/273-0177

## Please acknowledge!


RE: Request for Customer Number Data Change

Hon. Commissioner,

Please find on the following page a Request for Customer Number Data Change.

Please notice that the corrected address is Mockingbird DRIVE, NOT "Lane".

Respectfully submitted,



PETER I. LIPPMAN  
Registration No. 22,835

17900 Mockingbird Drive  
Reno, Nevada 89506

May 21, 2007

TELEPHONE:  
775/677-8822

**Exhibit H -- page 1 of 2**

**Request for  
Customer Number  
Data Change**

**Address to:**  
Mail Stop EBC  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

To the Commissioner for Patents:

38637

Please record the following data changes to Customer Number:

Please change Address to:


Firm or Individual Name	Peter I. Lippman		
Address	17900 Mockingbird Drive		
City	Reno	State	NV
Country	USA		
Telephone	775/677-8822	Email	PL@A-L.com

Please delete the following practitioner registration number(s) from the Customer Number indicated above:


Please add the following practitioner registration number(s) from the Customer Number above:


Additional practitioner registration numbers are listed on supplemental sheet(s) attached hereto (PTO/SB/124B or equivalent)

**Request Submitted by:** (must be a person, e.g. registered practitioner, associated with the customer number shown above)

Firm Name (if applicable)	Law Office of Peter Lippman		
Signature			
Name of Person Submitting request	Peter I. Lippman		Registration No.
Telephone Number	775/677-8822	Date	May 21, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.33. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop EBC, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option.

PATENT,  
TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT,  
& TRADE-SECRET LAW

LAW OFFICE OF  
**PETER I. LIPPMAN**  
17900 MOCKINGBIRD DRIVE  
RENO, NEVADA 89506

TELEPHONE 775/677-8822  
FACSIMILE 775/677-8823  
EMAIL PL@A-L.COM

May 21, 2007

Mail Stop EBC  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX only  
571/273-0177

## Please acknowledge!

RE: Request for Customer Number Data Change

Hon. Commissioner,

Please find on the following page a Request for Customer Number Data Change.

Please notice that the corrected address is Mockingbird DRIVE, NOT "Lane".

Respectfully submitted,



PETER I. LIPPMAN  
Registration No. 22,835

17900 Mockingbird Drive  
Reno, Nevada 89506

May 21, 2007

TELEPHONE:  
775/677-8822

**Exhibit H -- page 1 of 2**

**Request for  
Customer Number  
Data Change**

**Address to:**  
Mail Stop EBC  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

To the Commissioner for Patents:

38637

Please record the following data changes to Customer Number:

Please change Address to:


Firm or Individual Name	Peter I. Lippman		
Address	17900 Mockingbird Drive		
City	Reno	State	NV
Country	USA		
Telephone	775/677-8822	Email	PL@A-L.com

Please delete the following practitioner registration number(s) from the Customer Number indicated above:


Please add the following practitioner registration number(s) from the Customer Number above:


Additional practitioner registration numbers are listed on supplemental sheet(s) attached hereto (PTO/SB/124B or equivalent)

**Request Submitted by:** (must be a person, e.g. registered practitioner, associated with the customer number shown above)

Firm Name (if applicable)	Law Office of Peter Lippman		
Signature			
Name of Person Submitting request	Peter I. Lippman	Registration No.	22835
Telephone Number	775/677-8822	Date	May 21, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.33. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop EBC, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option.

file away



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
 United States Patent and Trademark Office  
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING OR 371(c) DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
10/013,320		Guy J. Farruggia	xAA-13

CONFIRMATION NO. 4255

38637  
 PETER I. LIPPMAN  
 17900 MOCKINGBIRD LANE  
 RENO, NV 89506

## WITHDRAWAL NOTICE



\*OC000000013479244\*

Date Mailed: 08/09/2004

## WITHDRAWAL OF PREVIOUSLY SENT NOTICE

The Notice of Abandonment mailed on 01/28/2004 was sent in error and is hereby withdrawn. A Filing Receipt is enclosed. The Office regrets any inconvenience the error may have caused.

CALENDAR  
 Followup  
 8/15

*[Handwritten signature]*  
 A copy of this notice **MUST** be returned with the reply.

Customer Service Center

Initial Patent Examination Division (703) 308-1202

PART 1 - ATTORNEY/APPLICANT COPY

**Exhibit I -- page 1 of 2**



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILED DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/306,899	11/26/2002	Gary R. Harmon	XAA-76	8447
38637	7590	09/29/2004	EXAMINER	
PETER I. LIPPMAN			ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J	
17900 MOCKINGBIRD LANE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RENO, NV 89506			1756	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OCT 05 2004  
P.I. LIPPMAN

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patentees: J. Kent Bowker and  
Stephen C. Lubard

Patent No.: 5,467,122

Filed: April 12, 1993

For: "UNDERWATER IMAGING IN REAL  
TIME USING SUBSTANTIALLY DI-  
RECT DEPTH-TO-DISPLAY-HEIGHT  
LIDAR STREAK MAPPING"

Our docket: XAA-05

Office of  
Petitions

DECLARATION OF JOHN W. McLEAN, Ph. D.

Hon. Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I, JOHN W. McLEAN, declare as follows.

1. I am the president and chief executive officer of Areté Associates, a company which is the assignee of the entire interest of the patent identified above.

2. I hold a doctorate from the California Institute of Technology and have over 25 years of experience in developing new concepts, performing research, and managing the integration and delivery of optical/mathematical systems for the Department of Defense.

3. Also I am a coPetitioner in this matter. My participation in the Petition is primarily due to the very great importance of this matter to Areté Associates.

4. The e-mail correspondence in Exhibit K of the accompanying Petition) appears to me, of my own knowledge, to be a true and correct copy of my actual 2003 correspondence with Mr. Lippman's office clerk, Mrs. Aguilera.

5. As I stated in that 2003 correspondence — which I went out of my way to copy to our chief financial officer, Jim King — and as I have reiterated in paragraph 2 above, this patent is a very important one to our company, Areté Associates.

6. Of course I understand that the patent cannot be reinstated merely because it is important to our company. Therefore I have reviewed the entire Petition and all its Exhibits with very great care.

7. Based upon that review, I have satisfied myself that all the delay in paying the eighth-year maintenance fee was in fact unavoidable, within the confidence level for "prudent and careful men in relation to their most important business". I notice in the quotation from the Matulla decision — in Section 10 of the "Additional Sheets" of the Petition — that this is the standard of review to be applied here. I am very well equipped to evaluate the facts of this case under that standard, because I am a prudent and careful man, and this matter is part of my most important business.

8. I have been acquainted with Patent Attorney Peter Lippman for more than eight years, going back to the period before my present position with Areté. In 1999 he prepared a patent application in which I was a coinventor, and which eventuated into U. S. 6,836,285.

9. In that project and since then, we have not always agreed on every detail of our professional relations. Nevertheless I have been impressed by his intelligent devotion to optimizing Areté's patent coverage — and also with his forthrightness and promptness in keeping me informed of developments, including those which might seem to cast him in a bad light. For example, he informed me of the present maintenance-fee situation immediately upon having learned about it in March.

10. I was and, still am, very upset to learn that the maintenance fee for the subject patent 5,467,122 has not been successfully paid. Before obtaining a copy of the 2005 Dismissal document, Mr. Lippman at first suggested to me that possibly he might, somehow, have contributed to that lack of success in making the payment.

11. I was gratified to see that his internal docket records (Exhibit A of the Petition) substantiate his belief that he never received the Dismissal.

12. I was still more gratified to learn that the PTO addressed the Dismissal (Exhibit B), on its face, to his former address —

- more than a year after the PTO received a proper address-change notice (Exhibit F) from Mr. Lippman, and
- eleven months after the PTO began sending mail directly to Mr. Lippman's new address (Exhibit I),
- even six months after the PTO officially confirmed his new address (Exhibit G), and
- about a month after expiration of the postal forwarding order that Mr. Lippman submitted to the United States Postal Service (section 7 of the "Additional Sheets" in the Petition).

13. In the aggregate, these facts would seem to make it all but certain that he never received the Dismissal.

14. I have also studied with great interest section 11 of the "Additional Sheets" — taking up Mr. Janoski's suggestion that Mr. Lippman should have followed-up the 2004 Petition. It happens that Areté does a very large fraction of its business with the United States Government, and I am comfortable to say: (1) the PTO's protracted processing of the 2004 Petition is wholly understandable, and (2) I concur that persistent follow-up would have aggravated the overall situation. These have been difficult times.

15. Although of course I know very, very little about the internal processes of the PTO, as a matter of objective fact I do know a lot about the procedures followed by "prudent and careful men in relation to their most important business". Based upon that standard, the facts noted in my paragraphs 11 through 14, just above, lead me to believe


that Mr. Lippman made no significant contribution to that part of the delay which followed mailing of the 2005 Dismissal document.

16. As to the delay occasioned before that mailing, I have thoughtfully considered the actions and efforts of both Mr. Lippman and his clerk, Mrs. Aguilera — as represented in the "Additional Sheets", sections 6, 7, 9, 10 and 11 (and also in Exhibit D, and Exhibits K through O).

17. Based upon those pieces of information I believe that the causes of that earlier delay, too, satisfy that same standard — especially in that those causes conform to the human-error criterion articulated in Matulla. I see that the efforts of Mr. Lippman and Mrs. Aguilera — even though in certain regards obviously imperfect — are within the expectations of a careful and prudent businessperson such as I am.

All statements herein made of my own knowledge are true; all statements made on information and belief I believe to be true. I understand that willful false statements and the like herein are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both (18 U.S.C. 1001) and may jeopardize the validity of the subject patent.

June 4, 2007  
date

  
John W. McLean, Ph. D.  
President and CEO  
Areté Associates

13

SUBJECT: RE: Arete's application on Ocean-Volume Lidar (our docket xAA-05)  
DATE: Thu, 30 Oct 2003 10:19:18 -0800  
FROM: HBA@sbcglobal.net

Okay, we will take care of it before the deadline.

Thank you,  
Holly

From: "McLean, John" <jmclean@arete-az.com>  
Subject: RE: Arete's application on Ocean-Volume Lidar (our docket xAA-05)  
Date: Wed, 29 Oct 2003 08:11:30 -0700  
To: Holly B Aguilera <HBA@sbcglobal.net>  
Cc: "King, Jim" <king@arete.com>

> Hello Holly,  
>  
> please proceed and pay the maintenance fee. This is a very important patent for Arete.  
>  
> Cheers,  
>  
> J.  
>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Holly B Aguilera [mailto:HBA@sbcglobal.net]  
> Sent: Monday, October 27, 2003 3:00 PM  
> To: McLean, John; PL@A-L.com  
> Subject: Arete's application on Ocean-Volume Lidar (our docket xAA-05)  
>  
>  
> Dear Dr. McLean:  
>  
> There is a deadline coming up in a few weeks for payment  
> of the 8th year maintenance fee for Arete's patent  
> 5,467,122 on the Ocean-Volume Lidar. The fee amount  
> is \$1,090 and is due November 14. No extensions are  
> available, and if payment is not made the patent will  
> lapse.  
>  
> Once payment is made, one additional maintenance fee  
> will be due on this patent in another 4 years and will  
> be considerably larger.  
>  
> Please let us have your authorization to proceed with  
> payment of this maintenance fee.  
>  
> Cordially,  
> Holly Aguilera  
>  
>  
>  
-----End of Original Message-----

Holly B. Aguilera Date: 10/30/03  
Time: 10:19:18  
Ashen Lippman  
4385 Ocean View Blvd. 818/249-5961 voice  
Montrose, CA 91020 818/249-8384 facs.  
e-mail: HBA@sbcglobal.net

## **Exhibit K**

PATENT, TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT,  
UNFAIR COMPETITION, TRADE-SECRET,  
COMPUTER & HIGH-TECHNOLOGY LAW

LAW OFFICES OF  
**ASHEN & LIPPMAN**  
4385 OCEAN VIEW BOULEVARD  
MONTROSE, CALIFORNIA 91020

TELEPHONE 818/249-5961  
FACSIMILE 818/249-8384  
EMAIL P@A-L.COM

May 13, 1999

Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Washington DC 20231

RE: U. S. utility-patent 5,467,122  
issued November 14, 1995  
from serial 46,335 -- filed April 12, 1993  
of Kent Bowker et al.  
for: "UNDERWATER IMAGING IN REAL TIME, USING  
SUBSTANTIALLY DIRECT DEPTH-TO-DISPLAY-HEIGHT  
LIDAR STREAK MAPPING"  
our docket xAA-05

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing in this case please find:

- our check for \$470, to be applied as indicated below
- acknowledgement card for date-stamping and return.


\$ 470 to be applied to the 3.5 year maintenance fee

Please note: no maintenance fee reminder was received for this patent.

In event any deficiency in fees should become due, or any refund accrues, you are hereby authorized to proceed, without specific authorization, to charge such fee deficiency or credit such refund to our deposit account 12-1639.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this correspondence is being deposited as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington DC 20231, on or before MAY 13, 1999.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Peter I. Lippman  
Reg. No. 22,835

**Exhibit L**

PATENT, TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT,  
UNFAIR COMPETITION, TRADE-SECRET  
COMPUTER & HIGH-TECHNOLOGY LAW

LAW OFFICE OF  
PETER I. LIPPMAN  
4385 OCEAN VIEW BOULEVARD  
MONTROSE, CALIFORNIA 91020

TELEPHONE 818/249-5961  
FACSIMILE 818/249-8384  
EMAIL P@A-L.COM

November 6, 2003

United States Patent  
and Trademarks Office  
PO Box 371611  
Pittsburgh, PA 15250-1611

RE: U. S. utility-patent 5,467,122  
issued November 14, 1995  
from serial 463,35 -- filed April 12, 1993  
of J. Kent Bowker et al.  
for: "UNDERWATER IMAGING IN REAL TIME USING  
SUBSTANTIALLY DIRECT DEPTH-TO-DISPLAY-HEIGHT  
LIDAR STREAK MAPPING"  
our docket xAA-05


Dear Sir:

Please debit the amount of \$1,090 for the 8 year maintenance fee from our deposit account 12-1639. Enclosed is an acknowledgement card for date-stamping and return. Please note that no maintenance fee notice was received for this case.

In the event that any deficiency in fees becomes due, or any refund accrues, you are hereby authorized to proceed, without prosecution of this application, you are hereby authorized specific authorization, to charge such fee or credit such refund to our deposit account 12-1639. A duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this correspondence is being deposited as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 371611, Pittsburgh, PA 15250-1611.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Peter I. Lippman  
Reg. No. 22,835

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<b>PETITION TO ACCEPT UNINTENTIONALLY DELAYED PAYMENT OF MAINTENANCE FEE IN AN EXPIRED PATENT (37 CFR 1.378(c))</b>		Docket Number (Optional) xAA-05
---	--	------------------------------------

Mail to: Mail Stop Petition  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
Fax: (703) 872-9306

NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (703) 305-9282.

Patent No. 5,467,122 Application Number 08/046,335

Issue Date November 14, 1995 Filing Date April 12, 1993

CAUTION: Maintenance fee (and surcharge, if any) payment must correctly identify: (1) the patent number (or reissue patent number, if a reissue) and (2) the application number of the actual U.S. application (or reissue application) leading to issuance of that patent to ensure the fee(s) is/are associated with the correct patent. 37 CFR 1.386(c) and (d).

**Also complete the following information, if applicable**

The above - identified patent:

is a reissue of original Patent No. \_\_\_\_\_, original issue date \_\_\_\_\_;  
original application number \_\_\_\_\_  
original filing date \_\_\_\_\_.

resulted from the entry into the U.S. under 35 U.S.C. 371 of international application \_\_\_\_\_ filed on \_\_\_\_\_

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.8(a))**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

March 2, 2004  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

PETER I. LIPPMAN  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

[Page 1 of 3]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.378(c). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## 1. SMALL ENTITY

 Patentee claims, or has previously claimed, small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.

## 2. LOSS OF ENTITLEMENT TO SMALL ENTITY STATUS

 Patentee is no longer entitled to small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27(g).

## 3. MAINTENANCE FEE (37 CFR 1.20(e)-(g))

The appropriate maintenance fee must be submitted with this petition, unless it was paid earlier.

NOT Small Entity			Small Entity		
Amount	Fee	(Code)	Amount	Fee	(Code)
<input type="checkbox"/> \$ _____	3 1/2 yr fee	(1551)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$ _____	3 1/2 yr fee	(2551)
<input type="checkbox"/> \$ _____	7 1/2 yr fee	(1552)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> \$ 1,025	7 1/2 yr fee	(2552)
<input type="checkbox"/> \$ _____	11 1/2 yr fee	(1553)	<input type="checkbox"/> \$ _____	11 1/2 yr fee	(2553)

MAINTENANCE FEE BEING SUBMITTED \$ 1,025

## 4. SURCHARGE

The surcharge required by 37 CFR 1.20(i)(2) of \$ 65 (Fee Code 1558) must be paid as a condition of accepting unintentionally delayed payment of the maintenance fee.

SURCHARGE BEING SUBMITTED \$ 65

## 5. MANNER OF PAYMENT

 Enclosed is a check for the sum of \$ \_\_\_\_\_. Please charge Deposit Account No. 12-1639 the sum of \$ 1,090. A duplicate copy of this authorization is attached. Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

## 6. AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE ANY FEE DEFICIENCY

 The Director is hereby authorized to charge any maintenance fee, surcharge or petition deficiency to Deposit Account No. 12-1639. A duplicate copy of this authorization is attached.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

## 7. OVERPAYMENT

As to any overpayment made please

Credit to Deposit Account No. 12-1639  
 OR  
 Send refund check.

**WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.**

## 8. STATEMENT

The delay in payment of the maintenance fee to this patent was unintentional.

**9. PETITIONER(S) REQUEST THAT THE DELAYED PAYMENT OF THE MAINTENANCE FEE BE ACCEPTED AND THE PATENT REINSTATED.**

March 2, 2004

Date

Signature(s) of Petitioner(s)

818/248-5961

Telephone Number

Peter I. Lippman

Typed or printed name(s)

22,835

Registration Number, if applicable

4385 Ocean View Blvd.

Address

Montrose, California 91020

Address

37 CFR 1.378(d) states: "Any petition under this section must be signed by an attorney or agent registered to practice before the Patent and Trademark Office, or by the patentee, the assignee, or other party in interest."

## ENCLOSURES:

Maintenance Fee payment  
 Surcharge under 37 CFR 1.20(l)(2) (fee for filing the maintenance fee petition)  
 \_\_\_\_\_

PATENT, TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT,  
UNFAIR COMPETITION, TRADE-SECRET  
COMPUTER & HIGH-TECHNOLOGY LAW

LAW OFFICE OF

PETER I. LIPPMAN

4385 OCEAN VIEW BOULEVARD  
MONTROSE, CALIFORNIA 91020

TELEPHONE 818/249-5961  
FACSIMILE 818/249-8384  
EMAIL P@A-L.COM

March 2, 2004

Mail Stop Petition  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

re: U. S. utility-patent 5,467,122, issued November 14, 1995  
from serial 08/046,335, filed April 12, 1993  
of J. Kent Bowker *et al.*  
for: "UNDERWATER IMAGING IN REAL TIME USING SUBSTANTIALLY  
DIRECT DEPTH-TO-DISPLAY-HEIGHT LIDAR STREAK MAPPING"  
our xAA-05

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing please find a Petition to Accept Unintentionally Delayed Payment of Maintenance Fee in an Expired Patent, along with a copy of our previously filed cover letter used in attempting to timely file the 7 1/2 year maintenance fee plus six-month surcharge.

As you can see from the copy of our previously submitted cover letter, we in good faith believed we were timely submitting the necessary maintenance fee and surcharge, however in adjusting the mailing certification in the last paragraph to show the new PTO mailing address, we inadvertently deleted the mailing date so it was not accepted as a Rule 8 certificate of mailing.

Please debit the necessary fee for this petition from our deposit account 12-1639.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Peter I. Lippman  
Reg. No. 22,835

Encl: petition of 3 pages  
copy of previously submitted coverletter

**Exhibit O**



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

loc-47

DAC

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Paper No. 21

**PETER I. LIPPMAN**  
**4385 OCEAN VIEW BLVD.**  
**MONTROSE, CA 91020**

**COPY MAILED**

**JUL 15 2005**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Bowker et al.  
Patent No. 5,467,122  
Application No. 08/046,335  
Filed: April 12, 1993  
Issued: November 14, 1995  
Attorney Docket No. xAA-05

**ON PETITION**

RECEIVED  
OPIENAP  
AUG 25 2005

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.378(c), filed March 15, 2004, to accept the delayed payment of a maintenance fee for the above-identified patent.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration under 37 CFR 1.378(e) must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. No extension of this two-month time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). This is not final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

A petition to accept the unintentionally delayed payment of a maintenance fee under 35 U.S.C. § 41(c) and 37 CFR 1.378(c) must be accompanied by: (1) a statement that the delay was unintentional; (2) payment of the appropriate maintenance fee, unless previously submitted; (3) payment of the surcharge set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(i)(2).

This petition lacks items (2) and (3) above. The funds were attempted to be collected from petitioner's deposit account, No. 12-1639 numerous times, but there was never sufficient funds. As of July 2005, the account is listed as having a negative balance. Accordingly, to reinstate the above-identified application, petitioner must supply the maintenance fee and the \$1640.00 surcharge.

**Any petition for reconsideration of this decision must be accompanied by the petition fee of \$130 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h). The petition for reconsideration must include the lacking item(s) noted above, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Commissioner.**

Petitioner should note that if this petition is not renewed, or if renewed and not granted, then the maintenance fees and post expiration surcharge are refundable. Petitioner may request a refund of the fees submitted on March 17, 2004. Please send all requests for refunds to the following address:



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

[Home](#) [Site Index](#) [Search](#) [FAQ](#) [Glossary](#) [Guides](#) [Contacts](#) [eBusiness](#) [eBiz Alerts](#) [News](#) [Help](#)

[Patent Home](#) | [Patents](#) | [Trademarks](#) | [Other](#) | [Sign-Off Authenticated Session](#)

### Patent eBusiness

- [Electronic Filing](#)
- [Patent Application Information \(PAIR\)](#)
- [Patent Ownership](#)
- [Fees](#)
- [Supplemental Resources & Support](#)

### Secured Patent Application Information Retrieval

**XML** [Download](#)

**08/046,335** **UNDERWATER IMAGING IN REAL TIME USING SUBSTANTIALLY DI**

[Select New Case](#) [Applications by Customer](#) [Transaction Data](#) [Image File History](#) [Image File Wrapper](#) [Continuity Data](#) [Fees](#) [Published Document](#)

This application is officially maintained in electronic form. To view: Click the desired Document(s) and click Start Download.

### Available Documents

<a href="#">Email</a>	<a href="#">Room</a>	<a href="#">Date</a>	<a href="#">Document Description</a>	<a href="#">Document</a>
		05-25-2007	<a href="#">Miscellaneous Incoming Letter</a>	PRO
		08-25-2005	<a href="#">Miscellaneous Incoming Letter</a>	PRO
		07-15-2005	<a href="#">Petition Decision</a>	PRO
		12-14-2004	<a href="#">Change of Address</a>	PRO
		03-15-2004	<a href="#">Petition Entered</a>	PRO
		08-14-1995	<a href="#">Issue Fee Payment (PTO-85B)</a>	PRO
		08-14-1995	<a href="#">Miscellaneous Incoming Letter</a>	PRO
		08-14-1995	<a href="#">Drawings</a>	PRO
		06-27-1995	<a href="#">Notice of Allowance and Fees Due (PTOL-85)</a>	PRO
				---